

HYPERSURFACES IN THE NONCOMPACT GRASSMANN MANIFOLD $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$

JÜRGEN BERNDT AND YOUNG JIN SUH

ABSTRACT. The Riemannian symmetric space $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$, $m \geq 2$, is both Hermitian symmetric and quaternionic Kähler symmetric. Let M be a hypersurface in $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$ and denote by TM its tangent bundle. The complex structure of $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$ determines a maximal complex subbundle $\mathcal{C}$ of TM , and the quaternionic structure of $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$ determines a maximal quaternionic subbundle $\mathcal{Q}$ of TM . In this article we investigate hypersurfaces in $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$ for which $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{Q}$ are closely related to the shape of M .

1. INTRODUCTION

Real hypersurfaces in complex space forms have been the source for a vast amount of research activity in the last decades. Little is known though about real hypersurfaces in other Kähler manifolds, which is of course due to the more complicated geometry of other Kähler manifolds. Of particular importance in this context are real hypersurfaces M for which the maximal complex subbundle $\mathcal{C}$ of the tangent bundle TM of M is closely related to the shape of M . The shape of M is encoded in its second fundamental form h . Let $\mathcal{C}^\perp = TM \ominus \mathcal{C}$ be the orthogonal of $\mathcal{C}$ in TM . The subbundle $\mathcal{C}^\perp$ has rank one and hence is always integrable. If the integral manifolds are totally geodesic submanifolds of M , then M is called a Hopf hypersurface. For the special case of $S^{2m-1} \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ the corresponding foliation is the well-known Hopf foliation. It is not difficult to see that M is a Hopf hypersurface if and only if $h(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}^\perp) = 0$, or equivalently, if $\mathcal{C}$ is invariant under the shape operator A of M .

In this paper we investigate Hopf hypersurfaces in the Hermitian symmetric space $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$, $m \geq 2$. This symmetric space has rank two. A major geometric difference between $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$ and its rank one partner, the complex hyperbolic space $\mathbb{C}H^m = SU_{1,m}/S(U_1U_m)$, is the existence of geometrically inequivalent tangent vectors. In $\mathbb{C}H^m$ all tangent vectors are geometrically equivalent because of the two-point homogeneity of $\mathbb{C}H^m$. On $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$, however, there is a one-parameter family of geometrically inequivalent tangent vectors, and it therefore seems to be a good choice as ambient Kähler manifold for investigating real hypersurfaces.

The Hermitian symmetric space $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$ has the remarkable feature that it is also a quaternionic Kähler symmetric space. We denote by J the Kähler structure and by $\mathfrak{J}$ the quaternionic Kähler structure on $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$. Let M be a connected hypersurface in $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$ and denote by TM the tangent bundle of M . The maximal complex subbundle of TM is defined by $\mathcal{C} = \{X \in TM \mid JX \in TM\}$, and the maximal

The second author was supported by grant Proj. No. R17-2008-001-01001-0 from NRF
 2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 53C40. Secondary 53C55.
Key words: Hypersurface, horosphere, symmetric space, Kähler structure, quaternionic Kähler structure.

quaternionic subbundle $\mathcal{Q}$ of TM is defined by $\mathcal{Q} = \{X \in TM \mid \mathfrak{J}X \subset TM\}$. The orthogonal complement $\mathcal{Q}^\perp = TM \ominus \mathcal{Q}$ is a subbundle of TM with rank three. In this article we deal with the classification problem of all Hopf hypersurfaces in $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$ for which $h(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{Q}^\perp) = 0$. This is equivalent to classifying all real hypersurfaces in $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$ for which both $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{Q}$ are invariant under the shape operator of M .

We first present a few hypersurfaces in $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$ with these two properties. We denote by $o \in SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$ the unique fixed point of the action of the isotropy group $S(U_2U_m)$ on $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$.

Firstly, consider the conic (or geodesic) compactification of $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$. The points in the boundary of this compactification correspond to equivalence classes of asymptotic geodesics in $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$. Every geodesic in $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$ lies in a maximal flat, that is, a two-dimensional Euclidean space embedded in $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$ as a totally geodesic submanifold. A geodesic in $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$ is called singular if it lies in more than one maximal flat in $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$. A singular point at infinity is the equivalence class of a singular geodesic in $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$. Up to isometry, there are exactly two singular points at infinity for $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$. The singular points at infinity correspond to the geodesics in $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$ which are determined by nonzero tangent vectors X with the property $JX \in \mathfrak{J}X$ and $JX \perp \mathfrak{J}X$ respectively. Our first main result is a geometric characterization of horospheres in $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$ whose center at infinity is singular.

Theorem 1.1. *Let M be a horosphere in $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$, $m \geq 2$. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) *the center of M is a singular point at infinity,*
- (ii) *$h(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}^\perp) = 0$,*
- (iii) *$h(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{Q}^\perp) = 0$.*

Secondly, consider the standard embedding of $SU_{2,m-1}$ in $SU_{2,m}$. Then the orbit $SU_{2,m-1} \cdot o$ of $SU_{2,m-1}$ through o is the Riemannian symmetric space $SU_{2,m-1}/S(U_2U_{m-1})$ embedded in $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$ as a totally geodesic submanifold. Every tube around $SU_{2,m-1}/S(U_2U_{m-1})$ in $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$ satisfies $h(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}^\perp) = 0$ and $h(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{Q}^\perp) = 0$.

Finally, let m be even, say $m = 2n$, and consider the standard embedding of $Sp_{1,n}$ in $SU_{2,2n}$. Then the orbit $Sp_{1,n} \cdot o$ of $Sp_{1,n}$ through o is the quaternionic hyperbolic space $\mathbb{H}H^n$ embedded in $SU_{2,2n}/S(U_2U_{2n})$ as a totally geodesic submanifold. Any tube around $\mathbb{H}H^n$ in $SU_{2,2n}/S(U_2U_{2n})$ satisfies $h(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}^\perp) = 0$ and $h(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{Q}^\perp) = 0$.

The second main result of this article states that with one possible exceptional case there are no other such real hypersurfaces.

Theorem 1.2. *Let M be a connected hypersurface in $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$, $m \geq 2$. Then M satisfies $h(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}^\perp) = 0$ and $h(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{Q}^\perp) = 0$ if and only if M is congruent to an open part of one of the following hypersurfaces:*

- (i) *a tube around a totally geodesic $SU_{2,m-1}/S(U_2U_{m-1})$ in $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$;*
- (ii) *a tube around a totally geodesic $\mathbb{H}H^n$ in $SU_{2,2n}/S(U_2U_{2n})$, $m = 2n$;*
- (iii) *a horosphere in $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$ whose center at infinity is singular;*

or the following exceptional case holds:

- (iv) *The normal bundle νM of M consists of singular tangent vectors of type $JX \perp \mathfrak{J}X$. Moreover, M has at least four distinct principal curvatures, three of which*

are given by

$$\alpha = \sqrt{2} , \quad \gamma = 0 , \quad \lambda = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$$

with corresponding principal curvature spaces

$$T_\alpha = (\mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{Q})^\perp , \quad T_\gamma = J\mathcal{Q}^\perp , \quad T_\lambda \subset \mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{Q} \cap J\mathcal{Q}.$$

If μ is another (possibly nonconstant) principal curvature function, then we have $T_\mu \subset \mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{Q} \cap J\mathcal{Q}$, $JT_\mu \subset T_\lambda$ and $\mathfrak{J}T_\mu \subset T_\lambda$.

One of the main tools for the proof of Theorem 1.2 is the Codazzi equation, which provides some useful relations between the principal curvatures of the hypersurface. The exceptional case arises from particular values of possible principal curvatures for which the Codazzi equation degenerates partially to the equation $0 = 0$ and therefore does not provide sufficient information. We conjecture that there are no real hypersurfaces in $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$ whose principal curvatures satisfy the conditions stated in Theorem 1.2 (iv). It is remarkable that up to this possible exception all hypersurfaces satisfying $h(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}^\perp) = 0$ and $h(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{Q}^\perp) = 0$ are locally homogeneous.

The article is organised as follows. In Section 2 we discuss some aspects of the geometry of horospheres in $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$ and prove Theorem 1.1. In Section 3 we present some basic material about the curvature of $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$. In Sections 4 and 5 we investigate the geometry of the tubes around the totally geodesic submanifold $SU_{2,m-1}/S(U_2U_m)$ in $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$ and around the totally geodesic submanifold $\mathbb{H}^n = Sp_{1,n}/Sp_1Sp_n$ in $SU_{2,2n}/S(U_2U_{2n})$. We show in particular that $h(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}^\perp) = 0$ and $h(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{Q}^\perp) = 0$ holds for every tube around any of these two totally geodesic submanifolds. In Section 6 we present the proof of Theorem 1.2. A key step is Proposition 6.3 where we show that the normal bundle of a hypersurface in $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$ with $h(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}^\perp) = 0$ and $h(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{Q}^\perp) = 0$ consists of singular tangent vectors.

We finally mention that the corresponding classification for the compact Riemannian symmetric space $SU_{2+m}/S(U_2U_m)$ was obtained in [2]. However, the noncompactness of $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$ leads to problems which require different methods.

2. HOROSPHERES IN $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$

The Riemannian symmetric space $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$ is a connected, simply connected, irreducible Riemannian symmetric space of noncompact type and with rank two. Let $G = SU_{2,m}$ and $K = S(U_2U_m)$, and denote by $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{k}$ the corresponding Lie algebra. Let B be the Killing form of $\mathfrak{g}$ and denote by $\mathfrak{p}$ the orthogonal complement of $\mathfrak{k}$ in $\mathfrak{g}$ with respect to B . The resulting decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$ is a Cartan decomposition of $\mathfrak{g}$. The Cartan involution $\theta \in \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$ on $\mathfrak{su}_{2,m}$ is given by $\theta(A) = I_{2,m}AI_{2,m}$, where $I_{2,m} = \begin{pmatrix} -I_2 & 0_{2,m} \\ 0_{m,2} & I_m \end{pmatrix}$, and I_2 and I_m is the identity (2×2) -matrix and $(m \times m)$ -matrix respectively. Then $\langle X, Y \rangle = -B(X, \theta Y)$ is a positive definite $\text{Ad}(K)$ -invariant inner product on $\mathfrak{g}$. Its restriction to $\mathfrak{p}$ induces a Riemannian metric g on $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$, which is also known as the Killing metric on $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$. Throughout this paper we consider $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$ together with this particular Riemannian metric g .

The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{k}$ decomposes orthogonally into $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{su}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{su}_m \oplus \mathfrak{u}_1$, where $\mathfrak{u}_1$ is the one-dimensional center of $\mathfrak{k}$. The adjoint action of $\mathfrak{su}_2$ on $\mathfrak{p}$ induces the quaternionic Kähler

structure $\mathfrak{J}$ on $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$, and the adjoint action of

$$Z = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{mi}{m+2}I_2 & 0_{2,m} \\ 0_{m,2} & \frac{-2i}{m+2}I_m \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{u}_1$$

induces the Kähler structure J on $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$. By construction, J commutes with each almost Hermitian structure J_1 in $\mathfrak{J}$. Recall that a canonical local basis J_1, J_2, J_3 of a quaternionic Kähler structure $\mathfrak{J}$ consists of three almost Hermitian structures J_1, J_2, J_3 in $\mathfrak{J}$ such that $J_\nu J_{\nu+1} = J_{\nu+2} = -J_{\nu+1} J_\nu$, where the index ν is to be taken modulo 3. The tensor field JJ_ν , which is locally defined on $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$, is selfadjoint and satisfies $(JJ_\nu)^2 = I$ and $\text{tr}(JJ_\nu) = 0$, where I is the identity transformation. For a nonzero tangent vector X we define $\mathbb{R}X = \{\lambda X \mid \lambda \in \mathbb{R}\}$, $\mathbb{C}X = \mathbb{R}X \oplus \mathbb{R}JX$, and $\mathbb{H}X = \mathbb{R}X \oplus \mathfrak{J}X$.

We identify the tangent space $T_oSU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$ of $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$ at o with $\mathfrak{p}$ in the usual way. Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be a maximal abelian subspace of $\mathfrak{p}$. Since $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$ has rank two, the dimension of any such subspace is two. Every nonzero tangent vector $X \in T_oSU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m) \cong \mathfrak{p}$ is contained in some maximal abelian subspace of $\mathfrak{p}$. Generically this subspace is uniquely determined by X , in which case X is called regular. If there exists more than one maximal abelian subspaces of $\mathfrak{p}$ containing X , then X is called singular. There is a simple and useful characterization of the singular tangent vectors: A nonzero tangent vector $X \in \mathfrak{p}$ is singular if and only if $JX \in \mathfrak{J}X$ or $JX \perp \mathfrak{J}X$.

Let $\mathfrak{a}^*$ be the dual vector space of $\mathfrak{a}$. For each $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ we define $\mathfrak{g}_\lambda = \{X \in \mathfrak{g} \mid \text{ad}(H)X = \lambda(H)X \text{ for all } H \in \mathfrak{a}\}$. If $\lambda \neq 0$ and $\mathfrak{g}_\lambda \neq \{0\}$, then λ is called a restricted root and $\mathfrak{g}_\lambda$ is called a restricted root space. Let $\Sigma \subset \mathfrak{a}^*$ be the set of restricted roots. For each $\lambda \in \Sigma$ we define $H_\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}$ by $\lambda(H) = \langle H_\lambda, H \rangle$ for all $H \in \mathfrak{a}$. Since $\mathfrak{a}$ is abelian we get a restricted root space decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus (\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Sigma} \mathfrak{g}_\lambda)$, where $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{k}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{a}$ and $\mathfrak{k}_0 \cong \mathfrak{u}_{m-2} \oplus \mathfrak{u}_1$ is the centralizer of $\mathfrak{a}$ in $\mathfrak{k}$. The corresponding restricted root system is of type $(BC)_2$. We choose a set $\Lambda = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\}$ of simple roots of Σ such that α_1 is the longer root of the two simple roots, and denote by Σ^+ the resulting set of positive restricted roots. If we write, as usual, $\alpha_1 = \epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2$ and $\alpha_2 = \epsilon_2$, the positive restricted roots are $\alpha_1 = \epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2$, $\alpha_2 = \epsilon_2$, $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = \epsilon_1$, $2\alpha_2 = 2\epsilon_2$, $\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 = \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2$ and $2\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 = 2\epsilon_1$. The multiplicities of the restricted roots $2\alpha_2$ and $2\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2$ are 1, the multiplicities of the restricted roots α_1 and $\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2$ are 2, and the multiplicities of α_2 and $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2$ are $2m - 4$, respectively. We denote by $\bar{C}^+(\Lambda)$ the closed positive Weyl chamber in $\mathfrak{a}$ which is determined by Λ . Note that $\bar{C}^+(\Lambda)$ is the closed cone in $\mathfrak{a}$ bounded by the half-lines spanned by $H_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}$ and $H_{\alpha_1+2\alpha_2}$.

We define a nilpotent subalgebra $\mathfrak{n}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ by $\mathfrak{n} = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Sigma^+} \mathfrak{g}_\lambda$. Then $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{n}$ is an Iwasawa decomposition of $\mathfrak{g}$. The subalgebra $\mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{n}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ is solvable, and the corresponding connected subgroup S of G with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{s}$ is solvable, simply connected, and acts simply transitively on $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$. Let $H \in \mathfrak{a}$ be a unit vector. Then $\mathfrak{s}_H = \mathfrak{s} \ominus \mathbb{R}H$ is a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{s}$ with codimension one. The connected subgroup S_H of S with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{s}_H$ acts on $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$ with cohomogeneity one. If $H \in \bar{C}^+(\Lambda)$, then the orbits of the action are the horospheres in $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$ which are determined by the geodesic γ_H with $\gamma_H(0) = o$ and $\dot{\gamma}_H(0) = H$. We recall from [3] that the shape operator A_H of the horosphere $S_H \cdot o$, the orbit of S_H through o , with respect to the unit normal vector H is the adjoint transformation $A_H = \text{ad}(H)$ restricted to $\mathfrak{s}_H$.

Recall that the conic compactification of $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$ is given by adding to the symmetric space $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$ the equivalence classes of its asymptotic geodesics, and then equipping the resulting set with the cone topology. If we denote by $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)(\infty)$

the boundary of $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$ with respect to the conic compactification, then the equivalence class $[\gamma_H] \in SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)(\infty)$ of all geodesics in $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$ which are asymptotic to γ_H can be viewed as the center of the horospheres given by the S_H -action. A point in $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)(\infty)$ is called singular, if the geodesics in the corresponding equivalence class are all singular. It is worthwhile to mention that if the tangent vector to a geodesic in $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$ is singular at one point, then it is singular at every point. Thus it makes sense to talk about singular geodesics. Moreover, if two geodesics are asymptotic and one of them is singular, then the other one must be singular as well. Therefore we can say that a point at infinity is singular if the corresponding equivalence class of asymptotic geodesics consists of singular geodesics. For details about the conic compactification and points at infinity we refer to [4].

We now proceed with some explicit calculations. We denote by $M_{k_1,k_2}(\mathbb{C})$ the real vector space of all $(k_1 \times k_2)$ -matrices with complex coefficients, and by $0_{k_1,k_2}$ the $(k_1 \times k_2)$ -matrix with all coefficients equal to 0. For $a = (a_1, a_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ we put $\Delta_{2,2}(a) = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 0 \\ 0 & a_2 \end{pmatrix}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{g} &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & C \\ C^* & B \end{pmatrix} \middle| A \in \mathfrak{u}_2, B \in \mathfrak{u}_m, \operatorname{tr}(A) + \operatorname{tr}(B) = 0, C \in M_{2,m}(\mathbb{C}) \right\}, \\ \mathfrak{k} &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & 0_{2,m} \\ 0_{m,2} & B \end{pmatrix} \middle| A \in \mathfrak{u}_2, B \in \mathfrak{u}_m, \operatorname{tr}(A) + \operatorname{tr}(B) = 0 \right\}, \\ \mathfrak{p} &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0_{2,2} & C \\ C^* & 0_{m,m} \end{pmatrix} \middle| C \in M_{2,m}(\mathbb{C}) \right\}, \\ \mathfrak{a} &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0_{2,2} & \Delta_{2,2}(a) & 0_{2,m-2} \\ \Delta_{2,2}(a) & 0_{2,2} & 0_{2,m-2} \\ 0_{m-2,2} & 0_{m-2,2} & 0_{m-2,m-2} \end{pmatrix} \middle| a \in \mathbb{R}^2 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

The two vectors

$$e_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0_{2,2} & \Delta_{2,2}(1,0) & 0_{2,m-2} \\ \Delta_{2,2}(1,0) & 0_{2,2} & 0_{2,m-2} \\ 0_{m-2,2} & 0_{m-2,2} & 0_{m-2,m-2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad e_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0_{2,2} & \Delta_{2,2}(0,1) & 0_{2,m-2} \\ \Delta_{2,2}(0,1) & 0_{2,2} & 0_{2,m-2} \\ 0_{m-2,2} & 0_{m-2,2} & 0_{m-2,m-2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

form a basis for $\mathfrak{a}$. We denote by $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ the dual vectors of e_1, e_2 . Then the root system Σ , the positive roots Σ^+ , and the simple roots $\Lambda = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\}$ are given by $\Sigma = \{\pm\epsilon_1 \pm \epsilon_2, \pm\epsilon_1, \pm\epsilon_2, \pm 2\epsilon_1, \pm 2\epsilon_2\}$, $\Sigma^+ = \{\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2, \epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2, \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, 2\epsilon_1, 2\epsilon_2\}$, $\alpha_1 = \epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2$, $\alpha_2 = \epsilon_2$. For each $\lambda \in \Sigma$ we define the corresponding restricted root space $\mathfrak{p}_\lambda$ in $\mathfrak{p}$ by $\mathfrak{p}_\lambda = (\mathfrak{g}_\lambda \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-\lambda}) \cap \mathfrak{p}$. Then we have $\mathfrak{p}_0 = \mathfrak{a}$ and

$$\mathfrak{p}_{\epsilon_1} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & v_1 & \cdots & v_{m-2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \bar{v}_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \bar{v}_{m-2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \middle| v_1, \dots, v_{m-2} \in \mathbb{C} \right\} \cong \mathbb{C}^{m-2},$$

$$\mathfrak{p}_{\epsilon_2} = \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{cccccc} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & v_1 & \cdots & v_{m-2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{v}_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \bar{v}_{m-2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{array} \right) \middle| v_1, \dots, v_{m-2} \in \mathbb{C} \right\} \cong \mathbb{C}^{m-2},$$

$$\mathfrak{p}_{2\epsilon_1} = \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{cccccc} 0 & 0 & ix & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ -ix & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{array} \right) \middle| x \in \mathbb{R} \right\} \cong \mathbb{R},$$

$$\mathfrak{p}_{2\epsilon_2} = \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{cccccc} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & ix & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & -ix & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{array} \right) \middle| x \in \mathbb{R} \right\} \cong \mathbb{R},$$

$$\mathfrak{p}_{\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2} = \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{cccccc} 0 & 0 & 0 & z & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \bar{z} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & z & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \bar{z} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{array} \right) \middle| z \in \mathbb{C} \right\} \cong \mathbb{C},$$

$$\mathfrak{p}_{\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2} = \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{cccccc} 0 & 0 & 0 & -z & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \bar{z} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & z & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ -\bar{z} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{array} \right) \middle| z \in \mathbb{C} \right\} \cong \mathbb{C}.$$

For $t \in [0, \pi/4]$ we define

$$H_t = \cos(t)e_1 + \sin(t)e_2 \in \mathfrak{a}$$

and denote by M_t the horosphere which coincides with the orbit $S_{H_t} \cdot o$. Every horosphere in $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$ is isometrically congruent to M_t for some $t \in [0, \pi/4]$, and two horospheres M_{t_1} and M_{t_2} are isometrically congruent if and only if $t_1 = t_2$. The principal

curvatures of M_t with respect to the unit normal vector H_t are 0 and $\lambda(H_t)$, $\lambda \in \Sigma^+$, and $\mathfrak{a} \ominus \mathbb{R}H_t$ and $\mathfrak{p}_\lambda$ consists of corresponding principal curvature vectors.

Table 2.1. *The principal curvatures and corresponding eigenspaces and multiplicities of the horosphere determined by $H_t = \cos(t)e_1 + \sin(t)e_2 \in \mathfrak{a}$ are given by*

principal curvature	eigenspace	multiplicity
0	$\mathfrak{a} \ominus \mathbb{R}H_t$	1
$2\cos(t)$	$\mathfrak{p}_{2\epsilon_1}$	1
$2\sin(t)$	$\mathfrak{p}_{2\epsilon_2}$	1
$\cos(t) - \sin(t)$	$\mathfrak{p}_{\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2}$	2
$\cos(t) + \sin(t)$	$\mathfrak{p}_{\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2}$	2
$\cos(t)$	$\mathfrak{p}_{\epsilon_1}$	$2m - 4$
$\sin(t)$	$\mathfrak{p}_{\epsilon_2}$	$2m - 4$

Thus the number of distinct principal curvatures is 7 for $m > 2$ and 5 for $m = 2$ unless $t \in \{0, \arctan(\frac{1}{2}), \frac{\pi}{4}\}$. In these three cases we get the following table:

Table 2.2. *The principal curvatures and corresponding eigenspaces and multiplicities of the horosphere determined by $H_t = \cos(t)e_1 + \sin(t)e_2 \in \mathfrak{a}$ with $t \in \{0, \arctan(\frac{1}{2}), \frac{\pi}{4}\}$ are given by*

t	principal curvature	eigenspace	multiplicity
0	0	$\mathbb{R}e_2 \oplus \mathfrak{p}_{\epsilon_2} \oplus \mathfrak{p}_{2\epsilon_2}$	$2m - 2$
	1	$\mathfrak{p}_{\epsilon_1} \oplus \mathfrak{p}_{\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2} \oplus \mathfrak{p}_{\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2}$	$2m$
	2	$\mathfrak{p}_{2\epsilon_1}$	1
$\arctan(\frac{1}{2})$	0	$\mathbb{R}(e_1 - 2e_2)$	1
	$1/\sqrt{5}$	$\mathfrak{p}_{\epsilon_2} \oplus \mathfrak{p}_{\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2}$	$2m - 2$
	$2/\sqrt{5}$	$\mathfrak{p}_{\epsilon_1} \oplus \mathfrak{p}_{2\epsilon_2}$	$2m - 3$
	$3/\sqrt{5}$	$\mathfrak{p}_{\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2}$	2
	$4/\sqrt{5}$	$\mathfrak{p}_{2\epsilon_1}$	1
$\frac{\pi}{4}$	0	$\mathbb{R}(e_1 - e_2) \oplus \mathfrak{p}_{\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2}$	3
	$1/\sqrt{2}$	$\mathfrak{p}_{\epsilon_1} \oplus \mathfrak{p}_{\epsilon_2}$	$4m - 8$
	$\sqrt{2}$	$\mathfrak{p}_{2\epsilon_1} \oplus \mathfrak{p}_{2\epsilon_2} \oplus \mathfrak{p}_{\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2}$	4

We now investigate the maximal complex subbundle $\mathcal{C}_t$ of TM_t . We recall that the complex structure J on $\mathfrak{p} \cong T_oSU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$ is given by $JX = \text{ad}(Z)X$ for all $X \in \mathfrak{p}$, where $Z = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{mi}{m+2}I_2 & 0_{2,m} \\ 0_{m,2} & \frac{-2i}{m+2}I_m \end{pmatrix}$. In particular, we get

$$JH_t = iH_t \in \mathfrak{p}_{2\epsilon_1} \oplus \mathfrak{p}_{2\epsilon_2}.$$

The maximal complex subbundle $\mathcal{C}_t$ of M_t is invariant under the shape operator of M_t if and only if JH_t is a principal curvature vector. Using the above tables and root space descriptions it is easy to see that JH_t is a principal curvature vector of M_t if and only if $t \in \{0, \frac{\pi}{4}\}$. These two values for t correspond exactly to the boundary of the closed positive Weyl chamber $\bar{C}^+(\Lambda)$, and therefore to the two types of singular geodesics on $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$.

The quaternionic Kähler structure $\mathfrak{J}$ on $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$ is determined by the transformations $\text{ad}(Q)$ on $\mathfrak{p}$ with

$$Q \in \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & 0_{2,m} \\ 0_{m,2} & 0_{m,m} \end{pmatrix} \middle| A \in \mathfrak{su}_2 \right\} \subset \mathfrak{k}.$$

We now investigate the maximal quaternionic subbundle $\mathcal{Q}_t$ of TM_t . For $t = 0$ we have $H_0 = e_1$ and

$$\mathfrak{J}H_0 = \mathfrak{p}_{2\epsilon_1} \oplus (\mathfrak{J}H_0 \cap (\mathfrak{p}_{\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2} \oplus \mathfrak{p}_{\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2})).$$

Using Table 2.2 we see that $\mathfrak{J}e_1$ is invariant under the shape operator of M_0 . This implies that the maximal quaternionic subbundle $\mathcal{Q}_0$ of TM_0 is invariant under the shape operator of M_0 . Next, for $t = \frac{\pi}{4}$ we have $H_{\frac{\pi}{4}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(e_1 + e_2)$. In this case we get

$$\mathfrak{J}H_{\frac{\pi}{4}} = \mathfrak{p}_{\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2} \oplus (\mathfrak{J}H_{\frac{\pi}{4}} \cap (\mathfrak{p}_{2\epsilon_1} \oplus \mathfrak{p}_{2\epsilon_2})),$$

which is contained in the $\sqrt{2}$ -eigenspace of the shape operator according to Table 2.2. It follows that the maximal quaternionic subbundle $\mathcal{Q}_{\frac{\pi}{4}}$ of $TM_{\frac{\pi}{4}}$ is invariant under the shape operator of $M_{\frac{\pi}{4}}$. Finally, for $0 < t < \frac{\pi}{4}$ we see that

$$\mathfrak{J}H_t \subset \mathfrak{p}_{2\epsilon_1} \oplus \mathfrak{p}_{2\epsilon_2} \oplus \mathfrak{p}_{\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2} \oplus \mathfrak{p}_{\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2}.$$

We see from Table 2.1 and Table 2.2 that the four root spaces we just listed correspond to distinct principal curvatures, and $\mathfrak{J}H_t$ is not equal to the sum of any three of them. We thus conclude that for $0 < t < \frac{\pi}{4}$ the maximal quaternionic subbundle of TM_t is not invariant under the shape operator of M_t .

We finally note that the angle between JH_t and $\mathfrak{J}H_t$ is equal to $2t$. Therefore the horospheres with a singular point at infinity are characterized by the geometric property that their normal vectors H satisfy $JH \in \mathfrak{J}H$ or $JH \perp \mathfrak{J}H$. Since horospheres in $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$ are homogeneous hypersurfaces, and isometries of $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$ preserve angles as well as complex and quaternionic subspaces, it follows that the horospheres with a singular point at infinity can be characterized by the property that $JH \in \mathfrak{J}H$ or $JH \perp \mathfrak{J}H$ for some nonzero normal vector. This finishes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

3. CURVATURE OF $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$

In this section we review some facts about the curvature of the Riemannian symmetric space $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$ equipped with the Killing metric g . We denote by R the Riemannian curvature tensor of $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$ with the convention $R(X, Y) = \nabla_X \nabla_Y - \nabla_Y \nabla_X - \nabla_{[X, Y]}$ for all vector fields X, Y on $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$, where ∇ is the Levi Civita covariant derivative of $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$. Locally the Riemannian curvature tensor R can be expressed entirely in terms of the metric g , the complex structure J , and the quaternionic Kähler structure $\mathfrak{J}$:

$$\begin{aligned} R(X, Y)Z &= -\frac{1}{2} \left[g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y + g(JY, Z)JX - g(JX, Z)JY - 2g(JX, Y)JZ \right. \\ &\quad + \sum_{\nu=1}^3 \{ g(J_\nu Y, Z)J_\nu X - g(J_\nu X, Z)J_\nu Y - 2g(J_\nu X, Y)J_\nu Z \} \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{\nu=1}^3 \{ g(J_\nu JY, Z)J_\nu JX - g(J_\nu JX, Z)J_\nu JY \} \right], \end{aligned}$$

where J_1, J_2, J_3 is a canonical local basis of $\mathfrak{J}$. The Riemannian curvature tensor for the compact symmetric space $SU_{2+m}/S(U_2U_m)$ was calculated explicitly by the first author in [1]. The concept of duality between symmetric spaces of compact and noncompact type implies that the Riemannian curvature tensors of $SU_{2+m}/S(U_2U_m)$ and $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$ just differ by sign. The factor $\frac{1}{2}$ is a consequence of choosing the Killing metric. The sectional curvature K of the symmetric space $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$ equipped with the Killing metric g is bounded by $-4 \leq K \leq 0$. The sectional curvature -4 is obtained for all 2-planes $\mathbb{C}X$ where X is a nonzero vector with $JX \in \mathfrak{J}X$.

The Jacobi operator with respect to X is the selfadjoint endomorphism defined by $R_X Y = R(Y, X)X$. We will need later the eigenvalues, eigenspaces and multiplicities of R_X in case X is a singular unit tangent vector. As we remarked above, there are two types of singular tangent vectors, namely of type $JX \perp \mathfrak{J}X$ and $JX \in \mathfrak{J}X$. In the second case we can write $JX = J_1 X$ with some almost Hermitian structure $J_1 \in \mathfrak{J}$.

Table 3.1. *The eigenvalues, eigenspaces and multiplicities of the Jacobi operator R_X for a singular unit tangent vector X are given by*

type	eigenvalue	eigenspace	multiplicity
$JX \perp \mathfrak{J}X$	0	$\mathbb{R}X \oplus \mathfrak{J}JX$	4
	$-\frac{1}{2}$	$(\mathbb{R}X \oplus \mathbb{R}JX \oplus \mathfrak{J}X \oplus \mathfrak{J}JX)^\perp$	$4m - 8$
	-2	$\mathbb{R}JX \oplus \mathfrak{J}X$	4
$JX = J_1 X \in \mathfrak{J}X$	0	$\mathbb{R}X \oplus \{Y \mid Y \perp \mathbb{H}X, JY = -J_1 Y\}$	$2m - 1$
	-1	$(\mathbb{H}X \ominus \mathbb{C}X) \oplus \{Y \mid Y \perp \mathbb{H}X, JY = J_1 Y\}$	$2m$
	-4	$\mathbb{R}JX$	1

4. THE ACTION OF $SU_{2,m-1}$ ON $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$

In this section we investigate the action of $SU_{2,m-1}$ on $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$. It is clear that $\mathfrak{su}_{2,m-1}$ is invariant under θ , and hence the orbit $W = SU_{2,m-1} \cdot o$ through o is a totally geodesic submanifold of $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$. The isotropy subgroup at o can easily be seen to be equal to $S(U_2U_{m-1})$, and therefore $W = SU_{2,m-1}/S(U_2U_{m-1})$. The tangent space $T_o W$ and the normal space $\nu_o W$ are given by

$$T_o W = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0_{2,2} & C & 0_{2,1} \\ C^* & 0_{m-1,m-1} & 0_{m-1,1} \\ 0_{1,2} & 0_{1,m-1} & 0_{1,1} \end{pmatrix} \middle| C \in M_{2,m-1}(\mathbb{C}) \right\},$$

$$\nu_o W = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0_{2,2} & 0_{2,m-1} & D \\ 0_{m-1,2} & 0_{m-1,m-1} & 0_{m-1,1} \\ D^* & 0_{1,m-1} & 0_{1,1} \end{pmatrix} \middle| D \in M_{2,1}(\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{C}^2 \right\},$$

and the isotropy subalgebra is

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & 0_{2,m-1} & 0_{2,1} \\ 0_{m-1,2} & B & 0_{m-1,1} \\ 0_{1,2} & 0_{1,m-1} & 0_{1,1} \end{pmatrix} \middle| A \in \mathfrak{u}_2, B \in \mathfrak{u}_{m-1}, \operatorname{tr}(A) + \operatorname{tr}(B) = 0 \right\}.$$

From this we see that the slice representation of the isotropy subgroup on the normal space $\nu_o W$ is conjugate to the standard U_2 -action on $\mathbb{C}^2$. Since U_2 acts transitively on the unit sphere in $\mathbb{C}^2$, we conclude that the action of $SU_{2,m-1}$ on $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$ is of cohomogeneity one, that is, the codimension of a generic orbit is one. This implies that the principal orbits of the $SU_{2,m-1}$ -action on $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$ are the tubes around the totally

geodesic submanifold $W = SU_{2,m-1}/S(U_2U_{m-1})$. We now proceed with calculating the principal curvatures and the corresponding principal curvature spaces and multiplicities of the tube W_r of radius $r \in \mathbb{R}_+$ around W .

Using the explicit description of the complex structure J and the quaternionic Kähler structure $\mathfrak{J}$ given in Section 2 we see that the 4-dimensional normal space $\nu_o W$ is invariant under both J and $\mathfrak{J}$. This implies that every normal vector N in $\nu_o W$ is singular of type $JN \in \mathfrak{J}N$. We fix a unit normal vector $N \in \nu_o W$ and denote by $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$ the geodesic with $\gamma(0) = o$ and $\dot{\gamma}(0) = N$. The tangent vector $\dot{\gamma}(r)$ is a unit normal vector of the tube W_r at $\gamma(r)$, and we denote by A_r the shape operator of W_r with respect to $-\dot{\gamma}(r)$. By $\dot{\gamma}^\perp$ we denote the subbundle of the tangent bundle of $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$ along γ consisting of all hyperplanes orthogonal to $\dot{\gamma}$, and by $R_\gamma^\perp$ we denote the Jacobi operator $R(\cdot, \dot{\gamma})\dot{\gamma}$ restricted to $\dot{\gamma}^\perp$. Now consider the $\text{End}(\dot{\gamma}^\perp)$ -valued ordinary differential equation

$$Y'' + R_\gamma^\perp \circ Y = 0, \quad Y(0) = \begin{pmatrix} I_{4m-4} & 0_{4m-4,3} \\ 0_{3,4m-3} & 0_{3,3} \end{pmatrix}, \quad Y'(0) = \begin{pmatrix} 0_{4m-4,4m-4} & 0_{4m-4,3} \\ 0_{3,4m-3} & I_3 \end{pmatrix},$$

where the decomposition of the matrices is with respect to the parallel translation along γ of the decomposition $\dot{\gamma}^\perp(0) = T_o W \oplus (\nu_o W \cap \dot{\gamma}(0)^\perp)$. There exists a unique solution D of this differential equation, and the shape operator can be calculated by means of $A_r = D'(r) \circ D^{-1}(r)$. A straightforward calculation gives the following table

Table 4.1. *The principal curvatures and their eigenspaces and multiplicities of the tube W_r with radius $r \in \mathbb{R}_+$ around the totally geodesic submanifold $W = SU_{2,m-1}/S(U_2U_{m-1})$ in $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$ are given by*

principal curvature	eigenspace	multiplicity
0	$\ _r \{Y \in T_o W \mid JY = -J_1 Y\}$	$2m - 2$
$\tanh(r)$	$\ _r \{Y \in T_o W \mid JY = J_1 Y\}$	$2m - 2$
$\coth(r)$	$\ _r \mathbb{H}N \ominus \mathbb{C}N$	2
$2 \coth(2r)$	$\ _r \mathbb{R}JN$	1

In this table $J_1 \in \mathfrak{J}$ denotes the almost Hermitian structure such that $JN = J_1 N$, and $\|_r$ denotes parallel translation along γ from $T_o SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$ to $T_{\gamma(r)} SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$. We denote by $\mathcal{C}_r$ and $\mathcal{Q}_r$ the maximal complex subbundle and the maximal quaternionic subbundle of TW_r respectively. For a principal curvature λ we denote by E_λ the corresponding eigenspace. Since both the Kähler structure and the quaternionic Kähler structure are invariant under parallel translation, Table 4.1 shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q}_r &= E_0 \oplus E_{\tanh(r)}, \\ \mathcal{C}_r &= E_0 \oplus E_{\tanh(r)} \oplus E_{\coth(r)}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves that both $\mathcal{C}_r$ and $\mathcal{Q}_r$ are invariant under the shape operator. We summarize this in

Theorem 4.2. *Let W_r be the tube of radius $r \in \mathbb{R}_+$ around the totally geodesic submanifold $W = SU_{2,m-1}/S(U_2U_{m-1})$ in $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$. The maximal complex subbundle $\mathcal{C}_r$ and the maximal quaternionic subbundle $\mathcal{Q}_r$ of TW_r are both invariant under the shape operator of W_r , that is, $h(\mathcal{C}_r, \mathcal{C}_r^\perp) = 0$ and $h(\mathcal{Q}_r, \mathcal{Q}_r^\perp) = 0$.*

5. THE ACTION OF $Sp_{1,n}$ ON $SU_{2,2n}/S(U_2U_{2n})$

In this section we investigate the action of $Sp_{1,n}$ on $SU_{2,2n}/S(U_2U_{2n})$. We realize $\mathfrak{sp}_{1,n}$ as a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{su}_{2,2n}$ by means of

$$\mathfrak{sp}_{1,n} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} ix & z & C_1 & C_2 \\ -\bar{z} & -ix & \bar{C}_2 & -\bar{C}_1 \\ C_1^* & \bar{C}_2^* & B_1 & B_2 \\ C_2^* & -\bar{C}_1^* & -\bar{B}_2 & \bar{B}_1 \end{pmatrix} \middle| \begin{array}{l} x \in \mathbb{R}, z \in \mathbb{C}, C_1, C_2 \in M_{1,n}(\mathbb{C}) \\ B_1 \in \mathfrak{u}_n, B_2 \in M_{n,n}(\mathbb{C}) \text{ symmetric} \end{array} \right\}.$$

Clearly, $\mathfrak{sp}_{1,n}$ is invariant under the Cartan involution θ on $\mathfrak{su}_{2,2n}$. Therefore the orbit $W = Sp_{1,n} \cdot o$ through o is a totally geodesic submanifold of $SU_{2,2n}/S(U_2U_{2n})$. The isotropy subalgebra at o is

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} ix & z & 0_{1,n} & 0_{1,n} \\ -\bar{z} & -ix & 0_{1,n} & 0_{1,n} \\ 0_{1,n} & 0_{1,n} & B_1 & B_2 \\ 0_{1,n} & 0_{1,n} & -\bar{B}_2 & \bar{B}_1 \end{pmatrix} \middle| \begin{array}{l} x \in \mathbb{R}, z \in \mathbb{C}, B_1 \in \mathfrak{u}_n, \\ B_2 \in M_{n,n}(\mathbb{C}) \text{ symmetric} \end{array} \right\},$$

and is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{sp}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{sp}_n$ by means of

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{sp}_1 &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} ix & z \\ -\bar{z} & -ix \end{pmatrix} \middle| x \in \mathbb{R}, z \in \mathbb{C} \right\}, \\ \mathfrak{sp}_n &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} B_1 & B_2 \\ -\bar{B}_2 & \bar{B}_1 \end{pmatrix} \middle| B_1 \in \mathfrak{u}_n, B_2 \in M_{n,n}(\mathbb{C}) \text{ symmetric} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore W is isometric to the n -dimensional quaternionic hyperbolic space $\mathbb{H}H^n = Sp_{1,n}/Sp_1Sp_n$. The tangent space T_oW and the normal space ν_oW are given by

$$\begin{aligned} T_oW &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & C_1 & C_2 \\ 0 & 0 & \bar{C}_2 & -\bar{C}_1 \\ C_1^* & \bar{C}_2^* & 0_{n,n} & 0_{n,n} \\ C_2^* & -\bar{C}_1^* & 0_{n,n} & 0_{n,n} \end{pmatrix} \middle| C_1, C_2 \in M_{1,n}(\mathbb{C}) \right\} \cong \mathbb{H}^n, \\ \nu_oW &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & C_1 & C_2 \\ 0 & 0 & -\bar{C}_2 & \bar{C}_1 \\ C_1^* & -\bar{C}_2^* & 0_{n,n} & 0_{n,n} \\ C_2^* & \bar{C}_1^* & 0_{n,n} & 0_{n,n} \end{pmatrix} \middle| C_1, C_2 \in M_{1,n}(\mathbb{C}) \right\} \cong \mathbb{H}^n. \end{aligned}$$

A straightforward calculation shows that the slice representation of the isotropy subgroup Sp_1Sp_n on ν_oW is conjugate to the standard representation of Sp_1Sp_n on $\mathbb{H}^n$. Since Sp_1Sp_n acts transitively on the unit sphere in $\mathbb{H}^n$, we conclude that the action of $Sp_{1,n}$ on $SU_{2,2n}/S(U_2U_{2n})$ is of cohomogeneity one. This implies that the principal orbits of the $Sp_{1,n}$ -action on $SU_{2,2n}/S(U_2U_{2n})$ are the tubes around the totally geodesic submanifold $W = \mathbb{H}H^n$. We now proceed with calculating the principal curvatures and the corresponding principal curvature spaces and multiplicities of the tube W_r of radius $r \in \mathbb{R}_+$ around W . This can be done as in the previous section. The only difference is that here the ordinary differential equation is given by

$$Y'' + R_\gamma^\perp \circ Y = 0, \quad Y(0) = \begin{pmatrix} I_{4n} & 0_{4n,4n-1} \\ 0_{4n-1,4n} & 0_{4n-1,4n-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad Y'(0) = \begin{pmatrix} 0_{4n,4n} & 0_{4n,4n-1} \\ 0_{4n-1,4n} & I_{4n-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Table 5.1. *The principal curvatures and their eigenspaces and multiplicities of the tube W_r with radius $r \in \mathbb{R}_+$ around the totally geodesic submanifold $W = Sp_{1,n}/Sp_1Sp_n = \mathbb{H}H^n$ in $SU_{2,2n}/S(U_2U_{2n})$ are given by*

principal curvature	eigenspace	multiplicity
0	$\ _r \mathfrak{J}JN$	3
$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \tanh(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}r)$	$\ _r T_oW \ominus \mathbb{H}JN$	$4n - 4$
$\sqrt{2} \tanh(\sqrt{2}r)$	$\ _r \mathbb{R}JN$	1
$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \coth(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}r)$	$\ _r \nu_oW \ominus \mathbb{H}N$	$4n - 4$
$\sqrt{2} \coth(\sqrt{2}r)$	$\ _r \mathfrak{J}N$	3

We denote by $\mathcal{C}_r$ and $\mathcal{Q}_r$ the maximal complex subbundle and the maximal quaternionic subbundle of TW_r respectively. By inspection of Table 5.1 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q}_r &= E_0 \oplus E_{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \tanh(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}r)} \oplus E_{\sqrt{2} \tanh(\sqrt{2}r)} \oplus E_{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \coth(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}r)}, \\ \mathcal{C}_r &= E_0 \oplus E_{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \tanh(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}r)} \oplus E_{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \coth(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}r)} \oplus E_{\sqrt{2} \coth(\sqrt{2}r)}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves that both $\mathcal{C}_r$ and $\mathcal{Q}_r$ are invariant under the shape operator. We summarize this in

Theorem 5.2. *Let W_r be the tube of radius $r \in \mathbb{R}_+$ around the totally geodesic submanifold $W = Sp_{1,n}/Sp_1Sp_n = \mathbb{H}H^n$ in $SU_{2,2n}/S(U_2U_{2n})$. The maximal complex subbundle $\mathcal{C}_r$ and the maximal quaternionic subbundle $\mathcal{Q}_r$ of TW_r are both invariant under the shape operator of W_r , that is, $h(\mathcal{C}_r, \mathcal{C}_r^\perp) = 0$ and $h(\mathcal{Q}_r, \mathcal{Q}_r^\perp) = 0$.*

6. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

Let M be a connected hypersurface in $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$ and assume that the maximal complex subbundle $\mathcal{C}$ and the maximal quaternionic subbundle $\mathcal{Q}$ of TM are invariant under the shape operator A of M , that is, $h(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}^\perp) = 0$ and $h(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{Q}^\perp) = 0$. The induced Riemannian metric on M will also be denoted by g , and ∇ denotes the Riemannian connection of (M, g) . Let N be a local unit normal field of M and A the shape operator of M with respect to N . Since all our calculations are of local nature, we will assume for simplicity that N and other objects as local canonical bases of $\mathfrak{J}$ are globally defined on M . The Kähler structure J of $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$ induces on M an almost contact metric structure (ϕ, ξ, η, g) , where the vector field ξ on M is defined by $\xi = -JN$, the one-form η on M is defined by $\eta(X) = g(X, \xi)$, and the tensor field ϕ on M is defined by $\phi X = JX - \eta(X)N$. Furthermore, let J_1, J_2, J_3 be a canonical local basis of $\mathfrak{J}$. Then each J_ν induces an almost contact metric structure $(\phi_\nu, \xi_\nu, \eta_\nu, g)$ on M . The following identities are easy to establish and are used frequently throughout this section:

$$\phi_{\nu+1}\xi_\nu = -\xi_{\nu+2}, \quad \phi_\nu\xi_{\nu+1} = \xi_{\nu+2}, \quad \phi\xi_\nu = \phi_\nu\xi, \quad \eta_\nu(\phi X) = \eta(\phi_\nu X).$$

Here, and below, the index ν is to be taken modulo 3. Using the explicit expression for the Riemannian curvature tensor of $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$ given in Section 3, we can write the

Codazzi equation as

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\nabla_X A)Y - (\nabla_Y A)X &= -\frac{1}{2} \left[\eta(X)\phi Y - \eta(Y)\phi X - 2g(\phi X, Y)\xi \right. \\
 &\quad + \sum_{\nu=1}^3 \{ \eta_\nu(X)\phi_\nu Y - \eta_\nu(Y)\phi_\nu X - 2g(\phi_\nu X, Y)\xi_\nu \} \\
 &\quad + \sum_{\nu=1}^3 \{ \eta(\phi_\nu X)\phi_\nu \phi Y - \eta(\phi_\nu Y)\phi_\nu \phi X \} \\
 &\quad \left. + \sum_{\nu=1}^3 \{ \eta(X)\eta(\phi_\nu Y) - \eta(Y)\eta(\phi_\nu X) \} \xi_\nu \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

The Codazzi equation is a substantial tool for establishing relations between principal curvatures.

Proposition 6.1. *Assume that the maximal complex subbundle $\mathcal{C}$ of TM is invariant under the shape operator A of M . Then ξ is a principal curvature vector field on M , say $A\xi = \alpha\xi$. Moreover, if $X \in \mathcal{C}$ is a principal curvature vector of M , say $AX = \lambda X$, then*

$$(2\lambda - \alpha)A\phi X + (1 - \alpha\lambda)\phi X = \sum_{\nu=1}^3 \{ 2\eta(\xi_\nu)\eta(\phi_\nu X)\xi - \eta_\nu(X)\phi_\nu \xi - \eta(\phi_\nu X)\xi_\nu - \eta(\xi_\nu)\phi_\nu X \}.$$

Proof. Since the tangent bundle TM decomposes orthogonally into $TM = \mathbb{R}\xi \oplus \mathcal{C}$, it is clear that the assumption $A\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{C}$ implies $A\xi = \alpha\xi$ for some smooth function α on M . Using the Codazzi equation we get for arbitrary tangent vector fields X and Y that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &g(\phi X, Y) - \sum_{\nu=1}^3 \{ \eta_\nu(X)\eta(\phi_\nu Y) - \eta_\nu(Y)\eta(\phi_\nu X) - g(\phi_\nu X, Y)\eta(\xi_\nu) \} \\
 &= g((\nabla_X A)Y - (\nabla_Y A)X, \xi) \\
 &= g((\nabla_X A)\xi, Y) - g((\nabla_Y A)\xi, X) \\
 &= (X\alpha)\eta(Y) - (Y\alpha)\eta(X) + \alpha g((A\phi + \phi A)X, Y) - 2g(A\phi AX, Y).
 \end{aligned}$$

For $X = \xi$ this equation yields

$$Y\alpha = (\xi\alpha)\eta(Y) + 2 \sum_{\nu=1}^3 \eta(\xi_\nu)\eta(\phi_\nu Y). \quad (6.1)$$

Inserting this and the corresponding equation for $X\alpha$ into the previous equation gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 &g(\phi X, Y) - \sum_{\nu=1}^3 \{ \eta_\nu(X)\eta(\phi_\nu Y) - \eta_\nu(Y)\eta(\phi_\nu X) - g(\phi_\nu X, Y)\eta(\xi_\nu) \} \\
 &= 2 \sum_{\nu=1}^3 \{ \eta(Y)\eta(\phi_\nu X) - \eta(X)\eta(\phi_\nu Y) \} \eta(\xi_\nu) + \alpha g((A\phi + \phi A)X, Y) - 2g(A\phi AX, Y).
 \end{aligned}$$

If we now insert $X \in \mathcal{C}$ with $AX = \lambda X$, the equation in Proposition 6.1 follows easily. $\square$

Since the quaternionic Kähler structure structure $\mathfrak{J}$ is invariant under parallel translation on $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$, there exist one-forms q_1, q_2, q_3 such that

$$\bar{\nabla}_X J_\nu = q_{\nu+2}(X)J_{\nu+1} - q_{\nu+1}(X)J_{\nu+2}$$

for all vector fields X on $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$, where $\bar{\nabla}$ is the Levi Civita covariant derivative on $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$.

Proposition 6.2. *Assume that the maximal quaternionic subbundle $\mathcal{Q}$ of TM is invariant under the shape operator A of M . Then there exists a canonical local basis J_1, J_2, J_3 of $\mathfrak{J}$ such that ξ_ν is a principal curvature vector field of M , say $A\xi_\nu = \beta_\nu\xi_\nu$. Moreover, if $X \in \mathcal{Q}$ is a principal curvature vector of M , say $AX = \lambda X$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} & (2\lambda - \beta_\nu)A\phi_\nu X + (1 - \lambda\beta_\nu)\phi_\nu X \\ = & \{2\eta(\xi_\nu)\eta(\phi_\nu X) - \eta(\xi_{\nu+1})\eta(\phi_{\nu+1}X) - \eta(\xi_{\nu+2})\eta(\phi_{\nu+2}X)\}\xi_\nu \\ & + (\beta_\nu - \beta_{\nu+1})q_{\nu+2}(X)\xi_{\nu+1} - (\beta_\nu - \beta_{\nu+2})q_{\nu+1}(X)\xi_{\nu+2} \\ & - \eta(\xi_\nu)\phi X - \eta(\phi_\nu X)\xi - \eta(X)\phi\xi_\nu + \eta(\phi_{\nu+2}X)\phi\xi_{\nu+1} - \eta(\phi_{\nu+1}X)\phi\xi_{\nu+2} \end{aligned}$$

holds for all $\nu \in \{1, 2, 3\}$.

Proof. Since the tangent bundle TM decomposes orthogonally into $TM = \mathfrak{J}N \oplus \mathcal{Q}$, it is clear that the assumption $A\mathcal{Q} \subset \mathcal{Q}$ implies that there exists a canonical local basis J_1, J_2, J_3 of $\mathfrak{J}$ such that $A\xi_\nu = \beta_\nu\xi_\nu$ for some functions $\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3$ on M . Using the Codazzi equation we get for arbitrary tangent vector fields X and Y that

$$\begin{aligned} & g(\phi X, Y)\eta(\xi_\nu) + g(\phi_\nu X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta_\nu(\phi Y) + \eta(Y)\eta_\nu(\phi X) \\ & - \eta_{\nu+1}(X)\eta_{\nu+2}(Y) + \eta_{\nu+1}(Y)\eta_{\nu+2}(X) \\ & - \eta(\phi_{\nu+1}X)\eta(\phi_{\nu+2}Y) + \eta(\phi_{\nu+1}Y)\eta(\phi_{\nu+2}X) \\ = & g((\nabla_X A)Y - (\nabla_Y A)X, \xi_\nu) \\ = & g((\nabla_X A)\xi_\nu, Y) - g((\nabla_Y A)\xi_\nu, X) \\ = & (X\beta_\nu)\eta_\nu(Y) - (Y\beta_\nu)\eta_\nu(X) + \beta_\nu g((A\phi_\nu + \phi_\nu A)X, Y) - 2g(A\phi_\nu AX, Y) \\ & + (\beta_\nu - \beta_{\nu+1})\{q_{\nu+2}(X)\eta_{\nu+1}(Y) - q_{\nu+2}(Y)\eta_{\nu+1}(X)\} \\ & - (\beta_\nu - \beta_{\nu+2})\{q_{\nu+1}(X)\eta_{\nu+2}(Y) - q_{\nu+1}(Y)\eta_{\nu+2}(X)\}. \end{aligned}$$

For $X = \xi_\nu$ this equation yields

$$\begin{aligned} Y\beta_\nu = & (\xi_\nu\beta_\nu)\eta_\nu(Y) + 2\eta(\xi_\nu)\eta(\phi_\nu Y) - \eta(\xi_{\nu+1})\eta(\phi_{\nu+1}Y) - \eta(\xi_{\nu+2})\eta(\phi_{\nu+2}Y) \\ & + (\beta_\nu - \beta_{\nu+1})q_{\nu+2}(\xi_\nu)\eta_{\nu+1}(Y) - (\beta_\nu - \beta_{\nu+2})q_{\nu+1}(\xi_\nu)\eta_{\nu+2}(Y). \end{aligned}$$

Inserting this and the corresponding equation for $X\beta_\nu$ into the previous equation gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 & g(\phi X, Y)\eta(\xi_\nu) + g(\phi_\nu X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(\phi_\nu Y) + \eta(Y)\eta(\phi_\nu X) \\
 & - \eta_{\nu+1}(X)\eta_{\nu+2}(Y) + \eta_{\nu+1}(Y)\eta_{\nu+2}(X) \\
 & - \eta(\phi_{\nu+1}X)\eta(\phi_{\nu+2}Y) + \eta(\phi_{\nu+1}Y)\eta(\phi_{\nu+2}X) \\
 = & \beta_\nu g((A\phi_\nu + \phi_\nu A)X, Y) - 2g(A\phi_\nu AX, Y) \\
 & - \eta(\xi_{\nu+1})\{\eta(\phi_{\nu+1}X)\eta_\nu(Y) - \eta(\phi_{\nu+1}Y)\eta_\nu(X)\} \\
 & - \eta(\xi_{\nu+2})\{\eta(\phi_{\nu+2}X)\eta_\nu(Y) - \eta(\phi_{\nu+2}Y)\eta_\nu(X)\} \\
 & - 2\eta(\xi_\nu)\{\eta(\phi_\nu Y)\eta_\nu(X) - \eta(\phi_\nu X)\eta_\nu(Y)\} \\
 & + (\beta_\nu - \beta_{\nu+1})\{q_{\nu+2}(\xi_\nu)(\eta_{\nu+1}(X)\eta_\nu(Y) - \eta_{\nu+1}(Y)\eta_\nu(X)) \\
 & \quad + q_{\nu+2}(X)\eta_{\nu+1}(Y) - q_{\nu+2}(Y)\eta_{\nu+1}(X)\} \\
 & - (\beta_\nu - \beta_{\nu+2})\{q_{\nu+1}(\xi_\nu)(\eta_{\nu+2}(X)\eta_\nu(Y) - \eta_{\nu+2}(Y)\eta_\nu(X)) \\
 & \quad + q_{\nu+1}(X)\eta_{\nu+2}(Y) - q_{\nu+1}(Y)\eta_{\nu+2}(X)\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

If we now insert $X \in \mathcal{Q}$ with $AX = \lambda X$, the equation in Proposition 6.2 follows by a straightforward calculation. $\square$

We will now combine the two previous results.

Proposition 6.3. *Assume that the maximal complex subbundle $\mathcal{C}$ of TM and the maximal quaternionic subbundle $\mathcal{Q}$ of TM are both invariant under the shape operator A of M . Then the normal bundle νM of M consists of singular tangent vectors of $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$.*

Proof. By Propositions 6.1 and 6.2 we can assume that $A\xi = \alpha\xi$ and $A\xi_\nu = \beta_\nu\xi_\nu$. Since TM decomposes orthogonally into $TM = \mathfrak{J}N \oplus \mathcal{Q}$, there exist unit vectors $Z \in \mathfrak{J}N$ and $X \in \mathcal{Q}$ such that $\xi = \eta(Z)Z + \eta(X)X$. We then get

$$\alpha\eta(Z)Z + \alpha\eta(X)X = \alpha\xi = A\xi = \eta(Z)AZ + \eta(X)AX.$$

Since both $\mathfrak{J}N$ and $\mathcal{Q}$ are invariant under A , we have $AZ \in \mathfrak{J}N$ and $AX \in \mathcal{Q}$.

Assume that $\eta(X)\eta(Z) \neq 0$. The previous equation then implies $AZ = \alpha Z$ and $AX = \alpha X$, and thus we can insert X into the equation of Proposition 6.2. Without loss of generality we may assume that $Z = \xi_3$. Taking the inner product of the equation for the index $\nu = 1$ in Proposition 6.2 with ξ_2 leads to

$$\eta(X)\eta(Z) = (\beta_2 - \beta_1)q_3(X),$$

and taking the inner product of the equation for the index $\nu = 2$ in Proposition 6.2 with ξ_1 gives

$$\eta(X)\eta(Z) = (\beta_1 - \beta_2)q_3(X),$$

Adding up the previous two equations yields $\eta(X)\eta(Z) = 0$, which contradicts the assumption $\eta(X)\eta(Z) \neq 0$. Therefore we must have $\eta(X)\eta(Z) = 0$, which means that ξ is tangent to $\mathfrak{J}N$ or tangent to $\mathcal{Q}$. Since $\xi = -JN$ this implies that either $JN \in \mathfrak{J}N$ or $JN \in \mathcal{Q} \perp \mathfrak{J}N$, and we conclude that N is a singular tangent vector of $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$ at each point. $\square$

We proceed by investigating separately the two types of singular tangent vectors.

6.1. **The case $JN \perp \mathfrak{J}N$.** In this subsection we assume that $JN \perp \mathfrak{J}N$. In this situation the vector fields $\xi, \xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3, \phi\xi_1, \phi\xi_2, \phi\xi_3$ are orthonormal.

Lemma 6.4. *For each $\nu \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ we have $A\phi\xi_\nu = \gamma_\nu\phi\xi_\nu$, and one of the following two cases holds:*

- (i) $\alpha\beta_\nu = 2$ and $\gamma_\nu = 0$,
- (ii) $\alpha = \beta_\nu \neq 0$ and $\gamma_\nu = \frac{\alpha^2-2}{\alpha}$.

Proof. When we insert $X = \xi_\nu$ with $A\xi_\nu = \beta_\nu\xi_\nu$ into the equation in Proposition 6.1, we get

$$(2\beta_\nu - \alpha)A\phi\xi_\nu = (\alpha\beta_\nu - 2)\phi\xi_\nu,$$

and when we insert $X = \xi$ with $A\xi = \alpha\xi$ into the equation in Proposition 6.2, we get

$$(2\alpha - \beta_\nu)A\phi\xi_\nu = (\alpha\beta_\nu - 2)\phi\xi_\nu.$$

These two equations imply (i) for $\alpha\beta_\nu = 2$ and (ii) for $\alpha\beta_\nu \neq 2$. $\square$

Lemma 6.5. *We have $\beta_1 = \beta_2 = \beta_3 =: \beta$, $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2 = \gamma_3 =: \gamma = 0$ and $\alpha\beta = 2$.*

Proof. By evaluating the equation in Proposition 6.2 for $X = \phi\xi_{\nu+1}$ and $\lambda = \gamma_{\nu+1}$ we get

$$2\gamma_{\nu+1}\gamma_{\nu+2} = \beta_\nu(\gamma_{\nu+1} + \gamma_{\nu+2}) \quad (6.2)$$

for all $\nu \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. Since $\beta_\nu \neq 0$ for all $\nu \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ according to Lemma 6.4, this implies that either $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2 = \gamma_3 = 0$ or $\gamma_\nu \neq 0$ for all $\nu \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. Assume that $\gamma_\nu \neq 0$ for all $\nu \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. Then, using Lemma 6.4, we have $\gamma_\nu = \frac{\alpha^2-2}{\alpha}$ and $\beta_\nu = \alpha$ for all $\nu \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, and inserting this into (6.2) yields $\alpha^2 = 2$. However, $\alpha^2 = 2$ implies $\gamma_\nu = 0$, which contradicts our assumption that $\gamma_\nu \neq 0$ for all $\nu \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. We therefore must have $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2 = \gamma_3 = 0$, and the assertion then follows from Lemma 6.4. $\square$

We now derive some equations for the principal curvatures corresponding to principal curvature vectors which are orthogonal to $\mathbb{R}JN \oplus \mathfrak{J}N \oplus \mathfrak{J}JN$. Note that the orthogonal complement of $\mathbb{R}JN \oplus \mathfrak{J}N \oplus \mathfrak{J}JN$ in TM is equal to $\mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{Q} \cap J\mathcal{Q}$.

Lemma 6.6. *Let $X \in \mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{Q} \cap J\mathcal{Q}$ with $AX = \lambda X$. Then we have*

$$(2\lambda - \alpha)A\phi X = (\alpha\lambda - 1)\phi X, \quad (6.3)$$

$$2(\alpha\lambda - 1)A\phi_\nu X = (2\lambda - \alpha)\phi_\nu X. \quad (6.4)$$

Proof. Equation (6.3) follows from Proposition 6.1, and (6.4) follows from Proposition 6.2 using the fact that $\alpha\beta = 2$ according to Lemma 6.5. $\square$

If we assume $2\lambda - \alpha = 0$, we get $\alpha\lambda = 1$ from (6.3) and therefore $\alpha^2 = 2$. Since $\alpha\beta = 2$ this implies $\alpha = \beta$. For this reason we consider the two cases $\alpha \neq \beta$ and $\alpha = \beta$ separately.

We first assume that $\alpha \neq \beta$. Then we must have $2\lambda - \alpha \neq 0$ and therefore also $\alpha\lambda - 1 \neq 0$ by (6.4). From (6.4) we then get

$$A\phi_{\nu+1}X = \frac{2\lambda - \alpha}{2(\alpha\lambda - 1)}\phi_{\nu+1}X.$$

Applying (6.4) to $\phi_{\nu+1}X$ we obtain

$$A\phi_\nu\phi_{\nu+1}X = \lambda\phi_\nu\phi_{\nu+1}X.$$

On the other hand, by (6.4) we also have

$$A\phi_{\nu+2}X = \frac{2\lambda - \alpha}{2(\alpha\lambda - 1)}\phi_{\nu+2}X.$$

Since $\phi_\nu\phi_{\nu+1}X = \phi_{\nu+2}X$, the previous two equations imply that λ is a solution of the quadratic equation

$$2\alpha\lambda^2 - 4\lambda + \alpha = 0.$$

This shows that A restricted to $\mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{Q} \cap J\mathcal{Q}$ has at most two eigenvalues. Moreover, each solution of $2\alpha\lambda^2 - 4\lambda + \alpha = 0$ satisfies $\frac{2\lambda - \alpha}{2(\alpha\lambda - 1)} = \lambda$, which means that the corresponding eigenspace is $\mathfrak{J}$ -invariant. From (6.3) we see that ϕX is a principal curvature vector with principal curvature $\frac{\alpha\lambda - 1}{2\lambda - \alpha}$. If we assume that $\frac{\alpha\lambda - 1}{2\lambda - \alpha} = \lambda$, we get $2\lambda^2 - 2\alpha\lambda + \alpha = 0$, which together with $2\alpha\lambda^2 - 4\lambda + \alpha = 0$ leads to $\lambda = 0$ (which leads to $\alpha = 0$ and contradicts $\alpha\beta = 2$) or $\alpha^2 = 2$ (which because of $\alpha\beta = 2$ leads to $\alpha = \beta$ and contradicts the assumption $\alpha \neq \beta$). Therefore we must have $\frac{\alpha\lambda - 1}{2\lambda - \alpha} \neq \lambda$. Altogether this shows that A restricted to $\mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{Q} \cap J\mathcal{Q}$ has precisely two eigenvalues, namely the two solutions λ_1 and λ_2 of $2\alpha\lambda^2 - 4\lambda + \alpha = 0$, and that J maps the two eigenspaces onto each other. It is easy to see that $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = \frac{2}{\alpha} = \beta \neq 0$.

From (6.1) we see that the gradient grad^α of α on M satisfies $\text{grad}^\alpha = (\xi\alpha)\xi$. For the Hessian $\text{hess}^\alpha(X, Y)$ of α we then get

$$\begin{aligned} \text{hess}^\alpha(X, Y) &= g(\nabla_X((\xi\alpha)\xi), Y) \\ &= X(\xi\alpha)\eta(Y) + (\xi\alpha)g(\nabla_X\xi, Y) \\ &= X(\xi\alpha)\eta(Y) + (\xi\alpha)g(\phi AX, Y). \end{aligned}$$

Since the Hessian of a function is symmetric, this implies

$$X(\xi\alpha)\eta(Y) - Y(\xi\alpha)\eta(X) + (\xi\alpha)g((A\phi + \phi A)X, Y) = 0.$$

Inserting $X = \xi$ yields $Y(\xi\alpha) = \xi(\xi\alpha)\eta(Y)$, and inserting this and the corresponding equation for $X(\xi\alpha)$ into the previous one shows that

$$(\xi\alpha)g((A\phi + \phi A)X, Y) = 0.$$

As we have seen above, on $\mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{Q} \cap J\mathcal{Q}$ we get $(A\phi + \phi A)X = \beta X$, and since $\beta \neq 0$ we conclude that $\xi\alpha = 0$ and hence $\text{grad}^\alpha = (\xi\alpha)\xi = 0$. Since M is connected we obtain that α is constant. From $2\alpha\lambda^2 - 4\lambda + \alpha = 0$ we get $\alpha^2 < 2$, and hence we can write $\alpha = \sqrt{2}\tanh(\sqrt{2}r)$ for some positive real number r and some suitable orientation of the normal vector. Writing α in this way, the two solutions of $2\alpha\lambda^2 - 4\lambda + \alpha = 0$ can be written as $\lambda_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\tanh(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}r)$ and $\lambda_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\coth(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}r)$. From $\alpha\beta = 2$ we also get $\beta = \sqrt{2}\coth(\sqrt{2}r)$.

We now assume that $\alpha = \beta$. Since $\alpha\beta = 2$ we may assume that $\alpha = \sqrt{2}$ (by a suitable orientation of the normal vector). Assume that there exists a principal curvature λ of A restricted to $\mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{Q} \cap J\mathcal{Q}$ such that $\lambda \neq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$. From (6.3) we then get

$$A\phi X = \frac{\alpha\lambda - 1}{2\lambda - \alpha}\phi X = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\phi X,$$

and from (6.4) we obtain

$$A\phi_\nu X = \frac{2\lambda - \alpha}{2(\alpha\lambda - 1)}\phi_\nu X = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\phi_\nu X.$$

Thus we have proved:

Proposition 6.7. *One of the following three cases holds:*

- (i) *M has five (four for $r = \sqrt{2}\text{Artanh}(1/\sqrt{3})$ in which case $\alpha = \lambda_2$) distinct constant principal curvatures*

$$\alpha = \sqrt{2} \tanh(\sqrt{2}r), \quad \beta = \sqrt{2} \coth(\sqrt{2}r), \quad \gamma = 0,$$

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \tanh\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}r\right), \quad \lambda_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \coth\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}r\right),$$

and the corresponding principal curvature spaces are

$$T_\alpha = \mathcal{C}^\perp, \quad T_\beta = \mathcal{Q}^\perp, \quad T_\gamma = J\mathcal{Q}^\perp = JT_\beta.$$

The principal curvature spaces T_{λ_1} and T_{λ_2} are invariant under $\mathfrak{J}$ and are mapped onto each other by J . In particular, the quaternionic dimension of $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$ must be even.

- (ii) *M has exactly three distinct constant principal curvatures*

$$\alpha = \beta = \sqrt{2}, \quad \gamma = 0, \quad \lambda = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$$

with corresponding principal curvature spaces

$$T_\alpha = (\mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{Q})^\perp, \quad T_\gamma = J\mathcal{Q}^\perp, \quad T_\lambda = \mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{Q} \cap J\mathcal{Q}.$$

- (iii) *M has at least four distinct principal curvatures, three of which are given by*

$$\alpha = \beta = \sqrt{2}, \quad \gamma = 0, \quad \lambda = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$$

with corresponding principal curvature spaces

$$T_\alpha = (\mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{Q})^\perp, \quad T_\gamma = J\mathcal{Q}^\perp, \quad T_\lambda \subset \mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{Q} \cap J\mathcal{Q}.$$

If μ is another (possibly nonconstant) principal curvature function, then $JT_\mu \subset T_\lambda$ and $\mathfrak{J}T_\mu \subset T_\lambda$.

Assume that M satisfies property (i) in Proposition 6.7. Then the quaternionic dimension of $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$ is even, say $m = 2n$. For $p \in M$ we denote by $c_p : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow SU_{2,2n}/S(U_2U_{2n})$ the geodesic in $SU_{2,2n}/S(U_2U_{2n})$ with $c_p(0) = p$ and $\dot{c}_p(0) = N_p$, and define the smooth map

$$F : M \rightarrow SU_{2,2n}/S(U_2U_{2n}), p \mapsto c_p(r).$$

Geometrically, F is the displacement of M at distance r in direction of the unit normal vector field N . For each $p \in M$ the differential $d_p F$ of F at p can be computed using Jacobi vector fields by means of $d_p F(X) = Z_X(r)$, where Z_X is the Jacobi vector field along c_p with initial value $Z_X(0) = X$ and $Z'_X(0) = -AX$. Using the explicit description of the Jacobi operator R_N given in Table 3.1 for the case $JN \perp \mathfrak{J}N$ we get

$$Z_X(r) = \begin{cases} E_X(r) & , \text{ if } X \in T_\gamma, \\ \left(\cosh\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}r\right) - \sqrt{2}\kappa \sinh\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}r\right) \right) E_X(r) & , \text{ if } X \in T_\kappa \text{ and } \kappa \in \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2\}, \\ \left(\cosh(\sqrt{2}r) - \frac{\kappa}{\sqrt{2}} \sinh(\sqrt{2}r) \right) E_X(r) & , \text{ if } X \in T_\kappa \text{ and } \kappa \in \{\alpha, \beta\}, \end{cases}$$

where E_X denotes the parallel vector field along c_p with $E_X(0) = X$. This shows that the kernel $\ker dF$ of dF is given by

$$\ker dF = T_\beta \oplus T_{\lambda_2} = \mathcal{Q}^\perp \oplus T_{\lambda_2},$$

and that F is of constant rank equal to the rank of the vector bundle $T_\alpha \oplus T_\gamma \oplus T_{\lambda_1}$, which is equal to $4n$. Thus, locally, F is a submersion onto a $4n$ -dimensional submanifold B of $SU_{2,2n}/S(U_2U_{2n})$. Moreover, the tangent space of B at $F(p)$ is obtained by parallel translation of $(T_\alpha \oplus T_\gamma \oplus T_{\lambda_1})(p) = (\mathbb{H}\xi \oplus T_{\lambda_1})(p)$, which is a quaternionic (with respect to $\mathfrak{J}$) and real (with respect to J) subspace of $T_p SU_{2,2n}/S(U_2U_{2n})$. Since both J and $\mathfrak{J}$ are parallel along c_p , also $T_{F(p)}B$ is a quaternionic (with respect to $\mathfrak{J}$) and real (with respect to J) subspace of $T_{F(p)}SU_{2,2n}/S(U_2U_{2n})$. Thus B is a quaternionic and real submanifold of $SU_{2,2n}/S(U_2U_{2n})$. Since every quaternionic submanifold of a quaternionic Kähler manifold is necessarily totally geodesic (see e.g. [5]), we see that B is a totally geodesic submanifold of $SU_{2,2n}/S(U_2U_{2n})$. The well-known concept of duality between symmetric spaces of noncompact type and symmetric spaces of compact type establishes a one-to-one correspondence between totally geodesic submanifolds of a symmetric space of noncompact type and its dual symmetric space of compact type. Using the concept of duality between the symmetric spaces $SU_{2,2n}/S(U_2U_{2n})$ and $SU_{2+2n}/S(U_2U_{2n})$, it follows from the classification of totally geodesic submanifolds in complex 2-plane Grassmannians (see [6]), that B is an open part of a quaternionic hyperbolic space $\mathbb{H}H^n$ embedded in $SU_{2,2n}/S(U_2U_{2n})$ as a totally geodesic submanifold. Rigidity of totally geodesic submanifolds implies that M is an open part of the tube with radius r around $\mathbb{H}H^n$ in $SU_{2,2n}/S(U_2U_{2n})$.

Now assume that M satisfies property (ii) in Proposition 6.7. As above we define c_p, F, X_Z, E_X , and we get

$$Z_X(t) = \begin{cases} E_X(t) & , \text{ if } X \in T_\gamma, \\ \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}t\right) E_X(t) & , \text{ if } X \in T_\lambda, \\ \exp\left(-\sqrt{2}t\right) E_X(t) & , \text{ if } X \in T_\alpha \end{cases}$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Now consider a geodesic variation in $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$ consisting of geodesics c_p . The corresponding Jacobi field is a linear combination of the three types of the Jacobi fields Z_X listed above, and hence its length remains bounded when $t \rightarrow \infty$. This shows that all geodesics c_p in $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$ are asymptotic to each other and hence determine a singular point $z \in SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)(\infty)$ at infinity. Therefore M is an integral manifold of the distribution on $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$ given by the orthogonal complements of the tangent vectors of the geodesics in the asymptote class z . This distribution is integrable and the maximal leaves are the horospheres in $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$ whose center at infinity is z . Uniqueness of integral manifolds of integrable distributions finally implies that M is an open part of a horosphere in $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$ whose center is the singular point z at infinity.

Altogether we have now proved the following result:

Theorem 6.8. *Let M be a connected hypersurface in $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$, $m \geq 2$. Assume that the maximal complex subbundle $\mathcal{C}$ of TM and the maximal quaternionic subbundle $\mathcal{Q}$ of TM are both invariant under the shape operator of M . If $JN \perp \mathfrak{J}N$, then one of the following statements holds:*

- (i) *M is an open part of a tube around a totally geodesic $\mathbb{H}H^n$ in $SU_{2,2n}/S(U_2U_{2n})$, $m = 2n$;*

- (ii) M is an open part of a horosphere in $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$ whose center at infinity is singular and of type $JN \perp \mathfrak{J}N$;
- (iii) M has at least four distinct principal curvatures, three of which are given by

$$\alpha = \beta = \sqrt{2}, \quad \gamma = 0, \quad \lambda = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$$

with corresponding principal curvature spaces

$$T_\alpha = (\mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{Q})^\perp, \quad T_\gamma = J\mathcal{Q}^\perp, \quad T_\lambda \subset \mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{Q} \cap J\mathcal{Q}.$$

If μ is another (possibly nonconstant) principal curvature function, then $JT_\mu \subset T_\lambda$ and $\mathfrak{J}T_\mu \subset T_\lambda$.

We investigated thoroughly case (iii), but failed to establish the existence or non-existence of a real hypersurface having principal curvatures as described in case (iii). However, we now conjecture that case (iii) in Theorem 6.8 cannot occur.

6.2. The case $JN \in \mathfrak{J}N$. In this subsection we assume that $JN \in \mathfrak{J}N$. There exists an almost Hermitian structure $J_1 \in \mathfrak{J}$ so that $JN = J_1N$. We then have

$$\xi = \xi_1, \quad \alpha = \beta_1, \quad \phi\xi_2 = -\xi_3, \quad \phi\xi_3 = \xi_2, \quad \phi\mathcal{Q} \subset \mathcal{Q}, \quad \mathcal{Q} \subset \mathcal{C}.$$

By inserting $X = \xi_2$ (or $X = \xi_3$) into the equation in Proposition 6.1 we get

$$2\beta_2\beta_3 - \alpha(\beta_2 + \beta_3) + 2 = 0. \tag{6.5}$$

From Propositions 6.1 and 6.2 we immediately get

Lemma 6.9. *Let $X \in \mathcal{Q}$ with $AX = \lambda X$. Then we have*

$$(2\lambda - \alpha)A\phi X = (\alpha\lambda - 1)\phi X - \phi_1 X, \tag{6.6}$$

$$(2\lambda - \alpha)A\phi_1 X = (\alpha\lambda - 1)\phi_1 X - \phi X, \tag{6.7}$$

$$(2\lambda - \beta_\nu)A\phi_\nu X = (\beta_\nu\lambda - 1)\phi_\nu X, \quad \nu = 2, 3. \tag{6.8}$$

By adding equations (6.6) and (6.7) we get

$$(2\lambda - \alpha)A(\phi + \phi_1)X = (\alpha\lambda - 2)(\phi + \phi_1)X, \tag{6.9}$$

and by subtracting (6.7) from (6.6) we get

$$(2\lambda - \alpha)A(\phi - \phi_1)X = \alpha\lambda(\phi - \phi_1)X. \tag{6.10}$$

Note that on $\mathcal{Q}$ we have $(\phi\phi_1)^2 = I$ and $\text{tr}(\phi\phi_1) = 0$. Let E_{+1} and E_{-1} be the eigenbundles of $\phi\phi_1|_{\mathcal{Q}}$ with respect to the eigenvalues $+1$ and -1 respectively. Then the maximal quaternionic subbundle $\mathcal{Q}$ of TM decomposes orthogonally into the Whitney sum $\mathcal{Q} = E_{+1} \oplus E_{-1}$, and the rank of both eigenbundles $E_{\pm 1}$ is equal to $2m + 2$. We have $X \in E_{+1}$ if and only if $\phi X = -\phi_1 X$ and $X \in E_{-1}$ if and only if $\phi X = \phi_1 X$.

Lemma 6.10. *Let $X \in \mathcal{Q}$ with $AX = \lambda X$. If $2\lambda = \alpha$, then $\lambda = 1$, $\alpha = 2$ and $X \in E_{-1}$.*

Proof. From (6.9) and (6.10) we see that one of the following two statements holds:

- (i) $\lambda = 0$, $\alpha = 0$ and $X \in E_{+1}$;
- (ii) $\lambda = 1$, $\alpha = 2$ and $X \in E_{-1}$.

In case (ii) we assume without loss of generality that $\alpha \geq 0$. We have to exclude case (i). Assume that $\lambda = 0$, $\alpha = 0$ and $X \in E_{+1}$. From (6.5) we get $\beta_2\beta_3 = -1$, and therefore both β_2 and β_3 are nonzero. From (6.8) we get

$$A\phi_\nu X = \frac{1}{\beta_\nu} \phi_\nu X, \quad \nu = 2, 3.$$

By applying (6.8) for $\nu = 2$ to $\phi_3 X$ we obtain

$$\frac{3}{\beta_3} A\phi_1 X = \left(\frac{2}{\beta_3} - \beta_2 \right) A\phi_2 \phi_3 X = \left(\frac{\beta_2}{\beta_3} - 1 \right) \phi_2 \phi_3 X = \left(\frac{\beta_2 - \beta_3}{\beta_3} \right) \phi_1 X,$$

and by applying (6.8) for $\nu = 3$ to $\phi_2 X$ we obtain

$$\frac{3}{\beta_2} A\phi_1 X = - \left(\frac{2}{\beta_2} - \beta_3 \right) A\phi_3 \phi_2 X = - \left(\frac{\beta_3}{\beta_2} - 1 \right) \phi_3 \phi_2 X = \left(\frac{\beta_3 - \beta_2}{\beta_2} \right) \phi_1 X,$$

The previous two equations imply $\beta_2 = \beta_3$, which contradicts $\beta_2\beta_3 = -1$. It follows that case (i) cannot hold. $\square$

We denote by Λ the set of all eigenvalues of $A|_{\mathcal{Q}}$, and for each $\rho \in \Lambda$ we denote by T_ρ the corresponding eigenspace.

We will first assume that there exists $\lambda \in \Lambda$ with $2\lambda = \alpha$. Then we have $\alpha = 2$, $\lambda = 1$ and $T_\lambda \subset E_{-1}$ according to Lemma 6.10. Since $\alpha = 2$, (6.5) becomes

$$0 = \beta_2\beta_3 - (\beta_2 + \beta_3) + 1 = (\beta_2 - 1)(\beta_3 - 1).$$

Therefore we have $\beta_2 = 1$ or $\beta_3 = 1$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $\beta_2 = 1$. From (6.8) we get $A\phi_2 X = 0$ for all $X \in T_1$. Applying (6.7) to $\phi_2 X$ and using the fact that $X \in E_{-1}$ we get $A\phi_3 X = 0$ for all $X \in T_1$. Thus we have shown that

$$0 \in \Lambda, \quad \phi_2 T_1 \subset T_0, \quad \phi_3 T_1 \subset T_0. \quad (6.11)$$

Next, we apply (6.8) for $\nu = 2$ to $\phi_3 X$, which yields $A\phi_1 X = \phi_1 X$, and applying (6.8) for $\nu = 3$ to $\phi_2 X$ gives $\beta_3 A\phi_1 X = \phi_1 X$. Comparing the previous two equations shows that $\beta_3 = 1$. Thus we have proved that

$$\beta_2 = \beta_3 = 1, \quad \phi_1 T_1 \subset T_1. \quad (6.12)$$

Now we choose $\rho \in \Lambda \setminus \{1\}$ and $Y \in T_\rho$. From (6.11) we know that $\Lambda \setminus \{1\} \neq \emptyset$. From (6.8) and (6.12) we get $(2\rho - 1)A\phi_\nu Y = (\rho - 1)\phi_\nu Y$ for $\nu = 2, 3$. Since $\rho \neq 1$ this implies $2\rho \neq 1$ and

$$\rho^* = \frac{\rho - 1}{2\rho - 1} \in \Lambda, \quad \phi_2 T_\rho \subset T_{\rho^*}, \quad \phi_3 T_\rho \subset T_{\rho^*}. \quad (6.13)$$

Note that $(\rho^*)^* = \rho$ and $0^* = 1 = \lambda$. Finally, we apply (6.8) for $\nu = 2$ to $\phi_3 Y$ and obtain $A\phi_1 Y = \rho\phi_1 Y$, and therefore

$$\phi_1 T_\rho \subset T_\rho. \quad (6.14)$$

From (6.7) and (6.14) we obtain $(2\rho - 2)\rho\phi_1 Y = (2\rho - 1)\phi_1 Y - \phi Y$ and therefore

$$\phi Y = (-2\rho^2 + 4\rho - 1)\phi_1 Y.$$

Since ϕY and $\phi_1 Y$ have the same length, this implies

$$Y \in E_{\pm 1}, \quad -2\rho^2 + 4\rho - 1 = \pm 1.$$

The equation $-2\rho^2 + 4\rho - 1 = 1$ has $\rho = 1$ as a solution with multiplicity 2, and the equation $-2\rho^2 + 4\rho - 1 = -1$ has $\rho = 0$ and $\rho = 2$ as solutions. However, for $\rho = 2$ we would have

$\frac{1}{3} = \rho^* \in \Lambda$ according to (6.13), but since $\frac{1}{3}$ is not a solution of $-2\rho^2 + 4\rho - 1 = \pm 1$, we can dismiss the case $\rho = 2$. Altogether we have shown that $\Lambda = \{0, 1\}$. From (6.11)–(6.14) it is clear that T_0 and T_1 have the same dimension, and hence $\dim T_0 = \dim T_1 = 2m + 2$. Since $T_1 \subset E_{-1}$ and the rank of E_{-1} is $2m + 2$, we get $T_1 = E_{-1}$. From the two orthogonal decomposition $\mathcal{Q} = E_{+1} \oplus E_{-1} = T_0 \oplus T_1$ we also get $T_0 = E_{+1}$. Thus we have proved

Proposition 6.11. *Assume that there exists a principal curvature $\lambda \in \Lambda$ with $2\lambda = \alpha$. Then M has three distinct constant principal curvatures 0, 1 and 2 with multiplicity $2m + 2$, $2m + 4$ and 1, respectively. The corresponding principal curvature spaces are E_{+1} , $E_{-1} \oplus (\mathcal{C} \ominus \mathcal{Q})$ and $\mathcal{C}^\perp$, respectively.*

We will now assume that $2\lambda \neq \alpha$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$. The linear maps

$$\mathcal{Q} \rightarrow E_{+1}, X \mapsto (\phi - \phi_1)X \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow E_{-1}, X \mapsto (\phi + \phi_1)X$$

are epimorphisms, and according to (6.9) and (6.10) each of them maps principal curvature vectors in $\mathcal{Q}$ either to 0 or to a principal curvature vector in E_{+1} resp. E_{-1} . It follows that there exists a basis of principal curvature vectors in $\mathcal{Q}$ such that each vector in that basis is in E_{+1} or in E_{-1} . In other words, we have

$$T_\lambda = (T_\lambda \cap E_{+1}) \oplus (T_\lambda \cap E_{-1}) \quad \text{for all } \lambda \in \Lambda.$$

From (6.6) and the ϕ -invariance of $E_{\pm 1}$ we get

Lemma 6.12. *Let $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Then we have*

$$A\phi X = \frac{\alpha\lambda}{2\lambda - \alpha}\phi X \in E_{+1} \quad \text{for all } X \in T_\lambda \cap E_{+1} \quad (6.15)$$

$$A\phi X = \frac{\alpha\lambda - 2}{2\lambda - \alpha}\phi X \in E_{-1} \quad \text{for all } X \in T_\lambda \cap E_{-1} \quad (6.16)$$

This shows that the cardinality $|\Lambda|$ of Λ satisfies $|\Lambda| \geq 2$. From (6.8) we easily get

Lemma 6.13. *Let $\lambda \in \Lambda$. If $2\lambda = \beta_\nu$, then $(\lambda = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \text{ and } \beta_\nu = \sqrt{2})$ or $(\lambda = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \text{ and } \beta_\nu = -\sqrt{2})$. Moreover, if $\beta_\nu = \sqrt{2}$, then $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \in \Lambda$ and $\phi_\nu T_\lambda \subset T_{1/\sqrt{2}}$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda \setminus \{1/\sqrt{2}\}$. Similarly, if $\beta_\nu = -\sqrt{2}$, then $-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \in \Lambda$ and $\phi_\nu T_\lambda \subset T_{-1/\sqrt{2}}$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda \setminus \{-1/\sqrt{2}\}$.*

Lemma 6.14. *Let $\lambda \in \Lambda$, $\nu \in \{2, 3\}$, and assume that $2\lambda \neq \beta_\nu$. Then we have*

$$\lambda_\nu = \frac{\beta_\nu\lambda - 1}{2\lambda - \beta_\nu} \in \Lambda \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_\nu T_\lambda \subset T_{\lambda_\nu}. \quad (6.17)$$

Moreover, if both $2\lambda \neq \beta_2$ and $2\lambda \neq \beta_3$, then one of the two following statements holds:

- (i) $T_\lambda \subset E_{+1}$ and $2\lambda_2\lambda_3 - \alpha(\lambda_2 + \lambda_3) + 2 = 0$;
- (ii) $T_\lambda \subset E_{-1}$ and $2\lambda_2\lambda_3 - \alpha(\lambda_2 + \lambda_3) = 0$.

Proof. The first statement follows from (6.8). From (6.7) we obtain for $X \in T_\lambda$ that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (2\lambda_2 - \alpha)A\phi_1\phi_2X - (\alpha\lambda_2 - 1)\phi_1\phi_2X + \phi\phi_2X \\ &= (2\lambda_2 - \alpha)A\phi_3X - (\alpha\lambda_2 - 1)\phi_3X + \phi_2\phi X \\ &= (2\lambda_2 - \alpha)\lambda_3\phi_3X - (\alpha\lambda_2 - 1)\phi_3X + \phi_2\phi X \\ &= (2\lambda_2\lambda_3 - \alpha(\lambda_2 + \lambda_3) + 1)\phi_3X + \phi_2\phi X \\ &= -(2\lambda_2\lambda_3 - \alpha(\lambda_2 + \lambda_3) + 1)\phi_2\phi_1X + \phi_2\phi X. \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$0 = (2\lambda_2\lambda_3 - \alpha(\lambda_2 + \lambda_3) + 1)\phi_1X - \phi X,$$

from which the assertion easily follows. $\square$

Lemma 6.15. *Let $\lambda, \lambda_2, \lambda_3 \in \Lambda$ and assume that $\phi_\nu T_\lambda \subset T_{\lambda_\nu}$ for $\nu = 2, 3$. If $2\lambda_3 \neq \beta_2$ and $2\lambda_2 \neq \beta_3$, then at least one of the following three statements holds:*

- (i) $2\lambda^2 = 1$;
- (ii) $\beta_2\beta_3 = 2$;
- (iii) $\beta_2 = \beta_3$.

Proof. Let $X \in T_\lambda$. From Lemma 6.14 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} A\phi_1X &= A\phi_2\phi_3X = \frac{\beta_2\lambda_3 - 1}{2\lambda_3 - \beta_2}\phi_2\phi_3X = \frac{(\beta_2\beta_3 - 2)\lambda + (\beta_3 - \beta_2)}{(\beta_2\beta_3 - 2) + 2(\beta_3 - \beta_2)\lambda}\phi_1X; \\ A\phi_1X &= -A\phi_3\phi_2X = -\frac{\beta_3\lambda_2 - 1}{2\lambda_2 - \beta_3}\phi_3\phi_2X = \frac{(\beta_2\beta_3 - 2)\lambda + (\beta_2 - \beta_3)}{(\beta_2\beta_3 - 2) + 2(\beta_2 - \beta_3)\lambda}\phi_1X. \end{aligned}$$

Comparing these two equations leads to $0 = (2\lambda^2 - 1)(\beta_2\beta_3 - 2)(\beta_3 - \beta_2)$, which implies the assertion. $\square$

Lemma 6.16. *If $\beta_2^2 \neq 2 \neq \beta_3^2$, then $\beta_2 = \beta_3$.*

Proof. If $\lambda = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \in \Lambda$, then Lemma 6.14 implies $\lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ and $\alpha < 0$. If $\lambda = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \in \Lambda$, then Lemma 6.14 implies $\lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ and $\alpha > 0$. Thus we have $\Lambda \neq \{\pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\}$, and it follows from Lemma 6.15 that $\beta_2\beta_3 = 2$ or $\beta_2 = \beta_3$.

Let us assume that $\beta_2\beta_3 = 2$. From (6.5) we obtain $\alpha(\beta_2 + \beta_3) = 6$ and hence $\alpha \neq 0$. Moreover, from $\beta_2\beta_3 = 2$ and (6.5) we see that β_2 and β_3 are the solutions of the quadratic equation

$$\alpha x^2 - 6x + 2\alpha = 0. \quad (6.18)$$

From (6.17) we obtain $\lambda_2\lambda_3 = \frac{1}{2}$. If we choose $\lambda \in \Lambda$ with $T_\lambda \subset E_{+1}$, Lemma 6.14 (i) implies that λ_2 and λ_3 are the solutions of the quadratic equation

$$2\alpha x^2 - 6x + \alpha = 0.$$

It follows that both $2\lambda_2$ and $2\lambda_3$ are solutions of the quadratic equation (6.18), which means that $\beta_2 = 2\lambda_2$ or $\beta_2 = 2\lambda_3$. In both cases we deduce $\beta_2^2 = 2$ from Lemma 6.13, which is a contradiction to the assumption. Therefore we must have $\beta_2\beta_3 \neq 2$, and we conclude that $\beta_2 = \beta_3$. $\square$

Lemma 6.17. *Assume that there exist $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and $\nu \in \{2, 3\}$ such $2\lambda = \beta_\nu$. Then we have $\lambda = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$, $\beta_2 = \beta_3 = \sqrt{2}$, $\alpha = \frac{3}{\sqrt{2}}$ and $E_{-1} \subset T_\lambda$.*

Proof. Without loss of generality we may assume that $2\lambda = \beta_2$. Using Lemma 6.13 we can also assume that $\lambda = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ and $\beta_2 = \sqrt{2}$ (by choosing a suitable orientation of the normal vector). Inserting $\beta_2 = \sqrt{2}$ into (6.5) gives $(2\sqrt{2} - \alpha)\beta_3 = \sqrt{2}\alpha - 2$. It follows from this equation that $\alpha \neq 2\sqrt{2}$ and

$$\beta_3 = \frac{\sqrt{2}\alpha - 2}{2\sqrt{2} - \alpha}. \quad (6.19)$$

This implies $\beta_3 \neq -\sqrt{2}$. We first assume that $\beta_3 \neq \sqrt{2} = \beta_2$. Since $|\Lambda| \geq 2$, there exists $\rho \in \Lambda \setminus \{\lambda\}$, and any such ρ satisfies $2\rho \neq \beta_2$ (since $\rho \neq \lambda$ and $2\lambda = \beta_2$) and $2\rho \neq \beta_3$

(since $\beta_3 \neq \pm\sqrt{2}$ and because of Lemma 6.13). From Lemma 6.14 we see that $\phi_\nu T_\rho \subset T_{\rho_\nu}$ with $\rho_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} = \lambda$ and $\rho_3 = \frac{\beta_3\rho-1}{2\rho-\beta_3} \in \Lambda$. We have $2\rho_2 = \sqrt{2} = \beta_2 \neq \beta_3$. Therefore, if $2\rho_3 \neq \beta_2$, we deduce $\rho = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ from Lemma 6.15 (since $\sqrt{2} = \beta_2 \neq \beta_3$ and $\rho \neq \lambda$). Otherwise, if $2\rho_3 = \beta_2 = \sqrt{2}$ we get $\frac{\beta_3\rho-1}{2\rho-\beta_3} = \rho_3 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ by Lemma 6.13, which is equivalent to $(\sqrt{2}\rho+1)\beta_3 = (\sqrt{2}\rho+1)\sqrt{2}$. Since $\beta_3 \neq \sqrt{2}$ this implies $\rho = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ as well. Altogether we conclude that $\Lambda = \{\pm\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\}$. However, if $\rho = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$, we have $1 = \frac{\beta_3\rho-1}{2\rho-\beta_3} = \rho_3 \in \Lambda$, which is a contradiction. Hence we must have $\beta_3 = \beta_2 = \sqrt{2}$. From (6.19) we then obtain $\alpha = \frac{3}{\sqrt{2}}$. Assume that there exists $\rho \in \Lambda \setminus \{\lambda\}$ such that $T_\rho \cap E_{-1} \neq \{0\}$, and let $0 \neq X \in T_\rho \cap E_{-1}$. From (6.17) we obtain $\phi_2 X \in T_\lambda \cap E_{+1}$ and $\phi_3 X \in T_\lambda \cap E_{+1}$. Using (6.15) we then get

$$A\phi_3 X = A\phi_1\phi_2 X = -A\phi\phi_2 X = \frac{3}{\sqrt{2}}\phi\phi_2 X = -\frac{3}{\sqrt{2}}\phi_1\phi_2 X = -\frac{3}{\sqrt{2}}\phi_3 X,$$

which contradicts $\phi_3 X \in T_\lambda$. Thus we conclude that there exists no $\rho \in \Lambda \setminus \{\lambda\}$ such that $T_\rho \cap E_{-1} \neq \{0\}$, which means that $E_{-1} \subset T_\lambda$. $\square$

We will now use the above results to derive some restrictions for the principal curvatures:

Proposition 6.18. *Let M be a connected hypersurface in $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$, $m \geq 2$. Assume that the maximal complex subbundle $\mathcal{C}$ of TM and the maximal quaternionic subbundle $\mathcal{Q}$ of TM are both invariant under the shape operator of M . If $JN \in \mathfrak{J}N$, then one the following statements holds:*

- (i) *M has exactly four distinct constant principal curvatures*

$$\alpha = 2 \coth(2r) , \quad \beta = \coth(r) , \quad \lambda_1 = \tanh(r) , \quad \lambda_2 = 0,$$

and the corresponding principal curvature spaces are

$$T_\alpha = \mathcal{C}^\perp , \quad T_\beta = \mathcal{C} \ominus \mathcal{Q} , \quad T_{\lambda_1} = E_{-1} , \quad T_{\lambda_2} = E_{+1}.$$

The principal curvature spaces T_{λ_1} and T_{λ_2} are complex (with respect to J) and totally complex (with respect to $\mathfrak{J}$).

- (ii) *M has exactly three distinct constant principal curvatures*

$$\alpha = 2 , \quad \beta = 1 , \quad \lambda = 0$$

with corresponding principal curvature spaces

$$T_\alpha = \mathcal{C}^\perp , \quad T_\beta = (\mathcal{C} \ominus \mathcal{Q}) \oplus E_{-1} , \quad T_\lambda = E_{+1}.$$

- (iii) *We have $\alpha = \frac{3}{\sqrt{2}}$, $\beta_2 = \beta_3 = \sqrt{2}$, $|\Lambda| \geq 2$, $\lambda = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \in \Lambda$ and $E_{-1} \subset T_\lambda$.*

Proof. If there exists a principal curvature $\lambda \in \Lambda$ such that $2\lambda = \beta_\nu$ for some $\nu \in \{2, 3\}$, we get statement (iii) from Lemma 6.17. We now assume that $2\lambda \neq \beta_\nu$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and all $\nu \in \{2, 3\}$. We have to show that M satisfies (i), (ii) or (iv).

If there exists a principal curvature $\lambda \in \Lambda$ with $2\lambda = \alpha$, we get case (ii) from Proposition 6.11. Thus we can assume that $2\lambda \neq \alpha$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Since $2\lambda \neq \beta_\nu$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$, we obtain from Lemma 6.13 that $\beta_\nu^2 \neq 2$ for $\nu \in \{2, 3\}$. From Lemma 6.16 we obtain $\beta_2 = \beta_3 =: \beta$, and (6.5) implies

$$\beta^2 - \alpha\beta + 1 = 0. \tag{6.20}$$

Note that if β is a solution of (6.20), then $\frac{1}{\beta}$ is the other solution. In case $\beta = 1$ (6.20) has a root of multiplicity two. Let $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and $X \in T_\lambda$. Then, using Lemma 6.14, we see that for $\nu \in \{2, 3\}$ we have $A\phi_\nu X = \lambda^* \phi_\nu X$ with

$$\lambda^* = \frac{\beta\lambda - 1}{2\lambda - \beta}.$$

Note that $(\lambda^*)^* = \lambda$ since $\beta^2 \neq 2$. Moreover, we have $T_\lambda \subset E_{\pm 1}$ and

$$T_{\lambda^*} \subset E_{-1} \text{ and } \lambda^{*2} - \alpha\lambda^* + 1 = 0 \text{ if } T_\lambda \subset E_{+1} \quad (6.21)$$

$$T_{\lambda^*} \subset E_{+1} \text{ and } \lambda^*(\lambda^* - \alpha) = 0 \text{ if } T_\lambda \subset E_{-1} \quad (6.22)$$

We choose $\lambda \in \Lambda$ such that $T_\lambda \subset E_{-1}$. From (6.20) and (6.22) we then obtain $\lambda^* = 0$ or $\lambda^* = \alpha$. Assume that $\lambda^* = \alpha$. Then we have $\alpha \in \Lambda$ and $T_\alpha \subset E_{+1}$. From (6.20) and (6.21) we then get $\alpha^* \in \{\beta, \frac{1}{\beta}\}$. The equation $\alpha^* = \beta$ is equivalent to $\beta^2 - \alpha\beta - 1 = 0$, which contradicts (6.20). The equation $\alpha^* = \frac{1}{\beta}$ is equivalent to $\alpha(\beta^2 - 2) = 0$. Since $\beta^2 \neq 2$ this implies $\alpha = 0$, which contradicts (6.20). Therefore $\lambda^* = \alpha$ is impossible, and we conclude that $\lambda^* = 0$ and hence $\lambda = \frac{1}{\beta}$. Altogether we conclude that $\Lambda = \{0, \frac{1}{\beta}\}$, $T_0 = E_{+1}$ and $T_{\frac{1}{\beta}} = E_{-1}$.

From Equation (6.1) we see that the gradient grad^α of α on M satisfies $\text{grad}^\alpha = (\xi\alpha)\xi$, and as in the proof of Proposition 6.7 we obtain $(\xi\alpha)g((A\phi + \phi A)X, Y) = 0$ for all vector fields X, Y on M . Since E_{-1} is invariant under both ϕ and A , we get for all $X \in E_{-1}$ that

$$0 = (\xi\alpha)g((A\phi + \phi A)X, \phi X) = \frac{1}{\beta}(\xi\alpha)g(\phi X, \phi X),$$

which implies $\xi\alpha = 0$. Therefore $\text{grad}^\alpha = (\xi\alpha)\xi = 0$, and since M is connected we conclude that α is constant.

It follows easily from (6.20) that $\alpha^2 \geq 4$. If $\alpha^2 = 4$, then $\beta = \frac{\alpha}{2}$ and hence $2\lambda_1 - \alpha = 0$ for $\lambda_1 = \frac{1}{\beta} \in \Lambda$, which contradicts our assumption that $2\lambda \neq \alpha$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Thus we have $\alpha^2 > 4$ and we can write $\alpha = 2 \coth(2r)$ for some $r \in \mathbb{R}_+$ (and possibly changing the orientation of the normal vector). From (6.20) we then obtain $\beta = \coth(r)$ and therefore $\lambda_1 = \frac{1}{\beta} = \tanh(r)$. Altogether this shows that statement (i) holds. $\square$

Assume that M satisfies property (i) in Proposition 6.18. For $p \in M$ we denote by $c_p : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$ the geodesic in $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$ with $c_p(0) = p$ and $\dot{c}_p(0) = N_p$, and define the smooth map

$$F : M \rightarrow SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m), p \mapsto c_p(r).$$

Geometrically, F is the displacement of M at distance r in direction of the unit normal vector field N . For each $p \in M$ the differential $d_p F$ of F at p can be computed using Jacobi vector fields by means of $d_p F(X) = Z_X(r)$, where Z_X is the Jacobi vector field along c_p with initial value $Z_X(0) = X$ and $Z'_X(0) = -AX$. Using the explicit description of the Jacobi operator R_N given in Table 3.1 for the case $JN = J_1N \in \mathfrak{J}N$ we get

$$Z_X(r) = \begin{cases} E_X(r) & , \text{ if } X \in T_{\lambda_2}, \\ (\cosh(r) - \kappa \sinh(r))E_X(r) & , \text{ if } X \in T_\kappa \text{ and } \kappa \in \{\beta, \lambda_1\}, \\ (\cosh(2r) - \frac{\alpha}{2} \sinh(2r))E_X(r) & , \text{ if } X \in T_\alpha, \end{cases}$$

where E_X denotes the parallel vector field along c_p with $E_X(0) = X$. This shows that the kernel $\ker dF$ of dF is given by

$$\ker dF = T_\alpha \oplus T_\beta = \mathfrak{J}N = \mathcal{Q}^\perp,$$

and that F is of constant rank equal to the rank of the quaternionic vector bundle $\mathcal{Q}$, which is equal to $4(m-1)$. Thus, locally, F is a submersion onto a $4(m-1)$ -dimensional submanifold B of $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$. Moreover, the tangent space of B at $F(p)$ is obtained by parallel translation of $(T_{\lambda_1} \oplus T_{\lambda_2})(p) = \mathcal{Q}(p)$, which is a quaternionic (with respect to $\mathfrak{J}$) and complex (with respect to J) subspace of $T_p SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$. Since both J and $\mathfrak{J}$ are parallel along c_p , also $T_{F(p)}B$ is a quaternionic (with respect to $\mathfrak{J}$) and complex (with respect to J) subspace of $T_{F(p)}SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$. Thus B is a quaternionic and complex submanifold of $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$. Since every quaternionic submanifold of a quaternionic Kähler manifold is necessarily totally geodesic (see e.g. [5]), we see that B is a totally geodesic submanifold of $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$. Using the concept of duality between the symmetric spaces $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$ and $SU_{2+m}/S(U_2U_m)$, it follows from the classification of totally geodesic submanifolds in complex 2-plane Grassmannians (see [6]), that B is an open part of $SU_{2,m-1}/S(U_2U_{m-1})$ embedded in $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$ as a totally geodesic submanifold. Rigidity of totally geodesic submanifolds implies that M is an open part of the tube with radius r around $SU_{2,m-1}/S(U_2U_{m-1})$ in $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$.

Now assume that M satisfies property (ii) in Proposition 6.18. As above we define c_p, F, X_Z, E_X , and we get

$$Z_X(t) = \begin{cases} E_X(t) & , \text{ if } X \in T_\lambda, \\ \exp(-t)E_X(t) & , \text{ if } X \in T_\beta, \\ \exp(-2t)E_X(t) & , \text{ if } X \in T_\alpha \end{cases}$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Now consider a geodesic variation in $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$ consisting of geodesics c_p . The corresponding Jacobi field is a linear combination of the three types of the Jacobi fields Z_X listed above, and hence its length remains bounded when $t \rightarrow \infty$. This shows that all geodesics c_p in $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$ are asymptotic to each other and hence determine a singular point $z \in SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)(\infty)$ at infinity. Therefore M is an integral manifold of the distribution on $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$ given by the orthogonal complements of the tangent vectors of the geodesics in the asymptote class z . This distribution is integrable and the maximal leaves are the horospheres in $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$ whose center at infinity is z . Uniqueness of integral manifolds of integrable distributions finally implies that M is a open part of a horosphere in $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$ whose center is the singular point z at infinity.

Finally, assume that M satisfies property (iii) in Proposition 6.18. Let $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ such that $\coth(t) = \sqrt{2} = \beta$. Then we have $\alpha = \frac{3}{\sqrt{2}} = 2 \coth(2t)$ and $\lambda = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} = \tanh(t)$. As above we define c_p, F, X_Z, E_X . Since M is a hypersurface, also $M_r = F(M)$ is (locally) a hypersurface for sufficiently small $r \in \mathbb{R}_+$. The tangent vector $\dot{c}_p(r)$ is a unit normal vector of M_r at $c_p(r)$. Since $\dot{c}_p(0) = N_p$ is a singular tangent vector of type $JX \in \mathcal{J}X$, every tangent vector of c_p is singular and of type $JX \in \mathcal{J}X$. The tangent space $T_{c_p(r)}M_r$ of M_r at $c_p(r)$ is obtained by parallel translation of T_pM along c_p from $c_p(0)$ to $c_p(r)$. We denote by $\mathcal{C}_r$ the maximal complex subbundle of TM_r and by $\mathcal{Q}_r$ the maximal quaternionic subbundle of TM_r . Let A_r be the shape operator of M_r with respect to $\dot{c}_p(r)$. For $X \in T_\alpha$

we have $A_r Z_X(r) = -Z'_X(r)$ with

$$Z_X(r) = (\cosh(2r) - \coth(2t) \sinh(2r)) E_X(r).$$

It follows that $E_X(r)$ is a principal curvature vector of M_r with corresponding principal curvature

$$\alpha_r = -\frac{2 \sinh(2r) - 2 \coth(2t) \cosh(2r)}{\cosh(2r) - \coth(2t) \sinh(2r)} = 2 \coth(2(r+t)).$$

Since $T_\alpha = \mathcal{C}^\perp = \mathbb{R}JN$ and J is parallel, we see that $(\mathcal{C}_r)^\perp$, and hence also $\mathcal{C}_r$, are invariant under the shape operator of M_r . For $X \in T_\beta$ we have $A_r Z_X(r) = -Z'_X(r)$ with

$$Z_X(r) = (\cosh(r) - \coth(t) \sinh(r)) E_X(r).$$

Since $T_\beta = \mathcal{C} \ominus \mathcal{Q}$ and both J and $\mathcal{J}$ are parallel, we conclude that $\mathcal{C}_r \ominus \mathcal{Q}_r$ is invariant under A_r . Altogether this implies that $\mathcal{Q}_r$ is invariant under the shape operator of M_r . We thus have proved that M_r satisfies the assumptions of Proposition 6.18. It is easy to see that $\alpha_r \notin \{\frac{3}{\sqrt{2}}, 2\}$ for $r \in \mathbb{R}_+$, and hence the principal curvatures of M_r must satisfy (i) in Proposition 6.18. Therefore M_r is an open part of a tube with radius $r+t$ around a totally geodesic $SU_{2,m-1}/S(U_2U_{m-1})$ in $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$. This implies that M is an open part of a tube with radius t around a totally geodesic $SU_{2,m-1}/S(U_2U_{m-1})$ in $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$.

Altogether we have now proved the following result:

Theorem 6.19. *Let M be a connected hypersurface in $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$, $m \geq 2$. Assume that the maximal complex subbundle $\mathcal{C}$ of TM and the maximal quaternionic subbundle $\mathcal{Q}$ of TM are both invariant under the shape operator of M . If the normal bundle of M consists of singular tangent vectors of type $JX \in \mathfrak{J}X$, then one the following statements holds:*

- (i) *M is an open part of a tube around a totally geodesic $SU_{2,m-1}/S(U_2U_{m-1})$ in $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$;*
- (ii) *M is an open part of a horosphere in $SU_{2,m}/S(U_2U_m)$ whose center at infinity is singular and of type $JX \in \mathfrak{J}X$.*

The main result, Theorem 1.2, now follows by combining Proposition 6.3, Theorem 6.8 and Theorem 6.19.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Berndt, Riemannian geometry of complex two-plane Grassmannians, *Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Politec. Torino* **55** (1997), 19–83.
- [2] J. Berndt and Y.J. Suh, Real hypersurfaces in complex two-plane Grassmannians, *Monatsh. Math.* **127** (1999), 1–14.
- [3] J. Berndt and H. Tamaru, Homogeneous codimension one foliations on noncompact symmetric spaces, *J. Differential Geom.* **63** (2003), 1–40.
- [4] P.B. Eberlein: *Geometry of nonpositively curved manifolds*, University of Chicago Press, Chicago, London, 1996.
- [5] A. Gray, A note on manifolds whose holonomy group is a subgroup of $Sp(n) \cdot Sp(1)$, *Michigan Math. J.* **16** (1969), 125–128.
- [6] S. Klein, Totally geodesic submanifolds of the complex and the quaternionic 2-Grassmannians, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **361** (2009), 4927–4967.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KING'S COLLEGE LONDON, STRAND, LONDON, WC2R 2LS,
UNITED KINGDOM

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, COLLEGE OF NATURAL SCIENCES, KYUNGPOOK NATIONAL UNI-
VERSITY, DAEGU 702-701, REPUBLIC OF KOREA